	Page 352
1 2 3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION
4	~~~~~~~~~~~~
5	
6	IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION MDL No. 2804 OPIATE LITIGATION
7	Case No. 17-md-2804
8	Judge Dan Aaron This document relates to: Polster
9	The County of Cuyahoga v. Purdue
10	Pharma L.P., et al.
11	Case No. 18-OP-45090
1.0	City of Cleveland, Ohio v. Purdue
12	Pharma L.P., et al Case No. 18-OP-45132
13	The County of Summit, Ohio, et al.
14	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.
15	Case No. 17-OP-45004
1.0	~~~~~~~~~~
16	Volume III
17	Continued deposition of PATRICK LEONARD
18	
19	May 23, 2019 8:01 a.m.
20	
21	Taken at: Ulmer & Berne
22	1660 W. 2nd Street, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio
23	Renee L. Pellegrino, RPR, CLR
24	THIS TRANSCRIPT HAS BEEN DEEMED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY AND MAY BE
25	SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER OR OTHER
	STIPULATIONS

```
Page 353
1
     APPEARANCES:
     On behalf of Summit County and City of Akron:
        Motley Rice
        JAMES W. LEDLIE, ESQ.
3
        28 Bridgeside Boulevard
        Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464
4
         (843) 216-9229
        jledlie@motleyrice.com
5
     On behalf of the United States Department of Justice
6
     and Drug Enforcement Administration:
        United States Attorney's Office
7
        JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ.
8
        RENEE A. BACCHUS, ESQ.
        United States Courthouse
        801 West Superior Avenue
9
        Suite 400
        Cleveland, Ohio 44113
10
         (216) 622-3988
        James.bennett4@usdoj.gov
11
        renee.bacchus@usdoj.gov
12
     On behalf of the U.S. Drug Enforcement
     Administration:
13
        JOHN J. CIPRIANI, ESQ.
        431 Howard Street
14
        Detroit, Michigan 48226
15
         (313) 234-4002
        john.j.cipriani@usdoj.gov
16
     On behalf of Walmart, Inc.:
17
        Jones Day
        CHRISTOPHER M. McLAUGHLIN, ESQ.
18
        North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue
        Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190
19
         (216) 586-3939
        cmmclaughlin@jonesday.com
20
     On behalf of CVS Indiana, LLC and CVS Rx Services,
     LLC:
21
        Zuckerman Spaeder LLP
22
        DANIEL P. MOYLAN, ESQ.
        100 East Pratt Street
        Suite 2440
23
        Baltimore, Maryland 21202-1031
         (410) 949-1159
2.4
        dmoylan@zuckerman.com
25
```

888-391-3376

```
Page 354
     APPEARANCES, CONT'D:
1
2.
     On behalf of Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and
     Johnson & Johnson:
        Tucker Ellis
3
        RAYMOND KRNCEVIC, ESQ.
4
        950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100
        Cleveland, Ohio 44113
        (216) 696-4889
5
        raymond.krncevic@tuckerellis.com
           - and -
6
        O'Melveny & Myers
7
        (Via Telephone)
        MATT WALLACE, ESQ.
8
        1999 Avenue of the Stars, 8th Floor
        Los Angeles, California 90067
        mwallace@omm.com
        (310) 553-6700
10
     On behalf of Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Endo
    Health Solutions, Inc., Par Pharmaceuticals,
11
     Inc. and Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Inc.:
1 2
        (Via Telephone)
        Arnold & Porter
13
        WILSON D. MUDGE, ESQ.
        601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
14
        Washington, D.C. 20001-3743
        (202) 942-5743
        wilson.mudge@arnoldporter.com
15
     On behalf of McKesson Corporation:
16
        Covington & Burling LLP
        BENJAMIN C. BLOCK, ESQ.
17
        STEPHEN RAIOLA, ESQ.
        One CityCenter
18
        850 Tenth Street NW
        Washington, D.C. 200001-4956
19
        (202) 662-5205
        bblock@cov.com
20
        sraiola@cov.com
2.1
22
2.3
24
25
```

```
Page 355
     APPEARANCES, CONT'D:
1
     On behalf of HBC Service Company:
        (Via Telephone)
3
        Marcus & Shapira LLP
        ERIN GIBSON-ALLEN, ESQ.
        One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor
4
        Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
        (412) 338-3344
5
        allen@marcus-shapira.com
6
     On behalf of Mallinckrodt, LLC:
7
        Ropes & Gray LLP
        JOSH GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.
        800 Boylston Street
8
        Boston, Massachusetts 02199
9
        (617) 951-7000
        joshua.goldstein@ropesgray.com
10
      On behalf of AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation:
11
        Jackson Kelly
        SANDRA K. ZERRUSEN, ESQ.
        50 South Main Street
12
        Suite 201
        Akron, Ohio 44308
13
        (330) 252-9060
14
        skzerrusen@jacksonkelly.com
     On behalf of Rite-Aid of Maryland:
15
        (Via Telephone)
        Morgan Lewis
16
        JOHN P. LAVELLE, JR., ESQ.
        1701 Market Street
17
        Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2921
        (215) 963-4824
18
        john.lavelle@morganlewis.com
19
     On behalf of Walgreens:
20
        (Via Telephone)
        Bartlit Beck
        ALEX J. HARRIS, ESQ.
21
        1801 Wewatta Street
22
        Suite 1200
        Denver, Colorado 80202
        (303) 592-3197
23
        alex.harris@bartlit-beck.com
24
25
```

```
Page 356
    APPEARANCES, CONT'D:
1
2
    On behalf of Henry Schein:
        (Via Telephone)
        Locke Lorde
3
        MADELEINE E. BRUNNER, ESQ.
 4
        2200 Ross Avenue
        Suite 2800
        Dallas, Texas 75201
5
        (214) 740-8000
        maddie.brunner@lockelord
6
7
    ALSO PRESENT:
8
        Special Master David Cohen
9
10
        Josh Payne
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1979-18 Filed: 07/24/19 6 of 157. PageID #: 235298

	Page 357
1	TRANSCRIPT INDEX
2	
3	APPEARANCES353
4	INDEX OF EXHIBITS358
5	INDEX OF OBJECTIONS359
6	
7	EXAMINATION OF PATRICK LEONARD:
8	BY MR. BLOCK364
9	BY MR. GOLDSTEIN462
10	BY MR. BLOCK463
11	BY MR. LEDLIE464
12	BY MR. BLOCK466
13	
14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE474
15	
16	EXHIBIT CUSTODY - RETAINED BY COURT REPORTER
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1979-18 Filed: 07/24/19 7 of 157. PageID #: 235299

			Page 358
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2			
3	Number	Description	Marked
4			
5	Exhibit 30	E-Mail String Beginning	Bates 429
		Number AKRON_000368859	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1979-18 Filed: 07/24/19 8 of 157. PageID #: 235300

	Page 359
1	INDEX OF OBJECTIONS
2	
3	Objection
	Objection
4	Objection390
	Objection
5	Objection
	Objection
6	Objection392
	Objection
7	Objection
	Objection
8	Objection
	Objection
9	Objection
	Objection
10	Objection401
	Objection401
11	Objection401
	Objection401
12	Objection403
	Objection407
13	Objection408
	Objection410
14	Objection410
	Objection413
15	Objection413
	Objection413
16	Objection414
	Objection416
17	Objection419
	Objection419
18	Objection420
	Objection420
19	Objection420
	Objection421
20	Objection421
	Objection422
21	Objection423
	Objection424
22	Objection424
	Objection424
23	Objection425
	Objection425
24	Objection425
	Objection426
25	Objection427

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1979-18 Filed: 07/24/19 9 of 157. PageID #: 235301

	Page 360	
-		
1	INDEX OF OBJECTIONS, CONT'D	
2	Objection427	
3	Objection	
4	Objection	
4	Objection	
5	Objection428	
5	Objection429	
6	Objection430	
O	Objection432	
7	Objection433	
	Objection433	
8	Objection434	
	Objection434	
9	Objection434	
	Objection436	
10	Objection436	
	Objection437	
11	Objection437	
	Objection437	
12	Objection437	
	Objection438	
13	Objection438	
	Objection438	
14	Objection438	
1 -	Objection438	
15	Objection439 Objection439	
16	Objection439 Objection439	
10	Objection439	
17	Objection	
_ ′	Objection440	
18	Objection440	
	Objection441	
19	Objection441	
	Objection443	
20	Objection443	
	Objection446	
21	Objection446	
	Objection447	
22	Objection447	
	Objection449	
23	Objection449	
	Objection450	
24	Objection451	
2.5	Objection452	
25	Objection453	

		Page 361
1		INDEX OF OBJECTIONS, CONT'D
2		
3	Objection	
	Objection	
4	Objection	
	Objection	
5	Objection	456
6	_	
7		
8	_	459
_	_	459
9	Objection	461
1.0	_	
10	Objection	463
1 1	5	463
11	Objection	
12	Objection Objection	
12		
13	Objection	
10	Objection	
14	Objection	
	Objection	
15	Objection	
16		
	_	469
17	Objection	
	Objection	
18	Objection	
	Objection	471
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 362
1	MR. BLOCK: We're here in the
2	National Prescription Opiate Litigation for the
3	continued deposition of Patrick Leonard. We're
4	at Ulmer & Berne. We should enter appearances
5	for the record, so, James, you want to start.
6	MR. LEDLIE: Sure.
7	This is James Ledlie on behalf of
8	Summit County.
9	MR. BENNETT: James Bennett from the
10	U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District
11	of Ohio here on behalf of the United States and
12	the Drug Enforcement Administration.
13	MR. CIPRIANI: John Cipriani,
14	division counsel for DEA.
15	MS. BACCHUS: Renee Bacchus, U.S.
16	Attorney's Office, Northern District of Ohio, on
17	behalf of the United States and DEA.
18	MS. ZERUSSEN: Sandy Zerussen,
19	Jackson Kelly, on behalf of AmerisourceBergen
20	Drug Corporation.
21	MR. MOYLAN: Daniel Moylan,
22	Zuckerman Spaeder, for CVS.
23	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: David Cohen,
24	Special Master.
25	MR. KRNCEVIC: Raymond Krncevic,

	Page 363
1	Tucker Ellis, for Janssen.
2	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Joshua Goldstein,
3	Ropes & Gray, for Mallinckrodt, LLC.
4	MR. RAIOLA: Stephen Raiola,
5	Covington & Burling, on behalf of McKesson.
6	MR. BLOCK: And Benjamin Block from
7	Covington for McKesson.
8	MR. BENNETT: And the U.S.
9	Attorney's Office, with the agreement of the
10	parties and the Special Master, there is a law
11	student, Josh Payne, who is observing the
12	deposition today.
13	Thank you.
14	MR. BLOCK: Is there anyone on the
15	phone? Anyone participating by phone, please
16	identify yourself.
17	MR. LAVELLE: John Lavelle from
18	Morgan Lewis on behalf of Defendant Rite-Aid of
19	Maryland.
20	MS. GIBSON-ALLEN: This is Erin
21	Gibson-Allen from Marcus & Shapira on behalf of
22	Defendant HBC.
23	MS. BRUNNER: Madeleine Brunner of
24	Locke Lord on behalf of Henry Schein.
25	MR. MUDGE: This is Wilson Mudge of

	Page 364
1	Arnold & Porter on behalf of the Endo and Par
2	Defendants.
3	MR. HARRIS: Alex Harris of Barlit
4	Beck on behalf of Walgreens.
5	MR. WALLACE: Matt Wallace of
6	O'Melveny & Myers on behalf of Johnson & Johnson
7	and Janssen.
8	PATRICK LEONARD, of lawful age, called
9	for examination, as provided by the Federal
10	Rules of Civil Procedure, being by me first duly
11	sworn, as hereinafter certified, deposed and
12	said as follows:
13	EXAMINATION OF PATRICK LEONARD
14	BY MR. BLOCK:
15	Q. Good morning, Detective Leonard.
16	A. Good morning, sir.
17	Q. Nice to meet you.
18	Did you do anything to prepare for
19	this portion of your deposition?
20	A. I did review the sentencing for
21	Dr. Dr.
22	Q. Anything else?
23	A. Reviewed some of the sentencing for
24	Dr. as well.
25	Q. Dr. that was?

	Page 365
1	A
2	Q. And anything else?
3	A. No, sir.
4	Q. Any meetings with counsel?
5	A. Met with counsel, yes.
6	Q. How many times?
7	A. Once.
8	Q. When was that?
9	A. Yesterday.
10	Q. And with which counsel did you meet?
11	A. I met with James Ledlie. I met with
12	the DOJ this morning for 15 minutes.
13	Q. How long was your meeting with
14	Mr. Ledlie yesterday?
15	A. Two hours maybe.
16	Q. Have you reviewed the transcripts
17	from the first two installments of your
18	deposition?
19	A. I scanned through them. They were
20	quite lengthy. But yes.
21	Q. Any corrections you need to make?
22	A. Not that I saw that caught me as
23	something that needed to be corrected
24	immediately.
25	Q. And other than Mr. Ledlie or counsel

	Page 366
1	for DEA and DOJ, have you spoken with anyone
2	about this case since last we saw you?
3	A. No, sir.
4	Q. How many investigations, sir, have
5	you worked on while assigned to the TDS?
6	A. I have no idea.
7	Q. Well, is it more than ten?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Is it more than a hundred?
10	A. Doubtful, no.
11	Q. It is more than 50?
12	A. It could be close to 50.
13	Q. And for how many of those were you
14	the lead agent?
15	A. Maybe a third.
16	Q. And who determines whether you're
17	or how is it determined whether you're the lead
18	agent or an assisting agent on any particular
19	investigation?
20	A. One is if I get the complaint, if I
21	start it and run with it. Some of the ones that
22	I was the lead on were because they were City of
23	Akron cases that I charted and did through the
24	DEA, so those I would be lead on all of
25	those. Or if referrals came from either Denise

1.3

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 367

Foster, our previous GS, or Hans Charters, that took place in either Akron or Summit County, those could be assigned to me as the lead.

- Q. When you say they were City of Akron cases that you charted, what do you mean by that?
- A. If I had a doctor shopper or a pharmacist or pharmacy tech, a theft, a nurse that was stealing from one of the hospitals, I would do the case and it would be state charges, but I would open a DEA case, so my reports would be done through the DEA format with a DEA-6 and the rest would be chartered.
- Q. Do you have a sense as to what percentage of the investigations you've worked on at TDS were -- if I followed that last answer correctly, would have been also state-chartered cases?
- A. When you say "worked on," I'm going to assume you're talking about even if I did surveillance and assisted on someone else's lead case, so probably about half of my cases were City of Akron cases. The other half would have been things that I assisted on or led through DEA.

	Page 368
1	Q. And do you know, while at TDS have
2	you worked on cases that were also, for example,
3	City of Cleveland cases?
4	A. I did not work on I don't know
5	that, no. I don't know.
6	Q. You're not aware of having worked on
7	a City of Cleveland case?
8	A. That's correct. I'm not aware of
9	that.
L O	Q. Would there be such a thing as a
L 1	Summit County case?
L 2	A. Yes.
L 3	Q. And at TDS have you worked on any
L 4	Summit County cases?
L 5	A. Well, the City of Akron falls within
L 6	the borders of Summit County, so all my Akron
L 7	cases would have gone through Summit County
L 8	Common Pleas Court, so if that answers your
L 9	question.
2 0	Q. I think so.
21	So is there anything else within
22	Summit County that would come to you that
23	wouldn't come to you through the City of Akron?
2 4	A. I would get a referral outside of
2 5	the City of Akron. I think I worked a Stow

Page 369 I've recently worked a pharmacist case 1 2. that was the City of Stow that's -- he's been 3 indicted in Summit County Grand Jury. Have you ever worked on any Cuyahoga 4 0. County cases while at TDS? 5 I believe I have. There were other 6 7 task force officers that were Summit County deputies or Cleveland Heights officers. 8 9 0. Did you mean Cuyahoga County? 10 Α. I'm sorry. Cuyahoga County. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Or Cleveland Heights detectives that 13 were task force officers, and some of those 14 cases were taken locally rather than federally, 15 so that could be a Cuyahoga County case. 16 Who determines whether a case 17 ultimately is taken locally versus federally? 18 Α. There's -- I guess there's a couple 19 different ways. 20 If the AUSA isn't -- if we don't 21 meet the requirements of a minimum that the AUSA 22 wants for the case, then we take it state, or if 23 our department wants us to take it state, then we'll take it state. 24 So I think you've said there are 25 Q.

	Page 370
1	approximately 50 investigations that you've
2	worked on in your time at TDS?
3	A. Plus or minus, yes.
4	Q. But are we talking plus or minus ten
5	or so?
6	A. At most.
7	Q. And how many of those investigations
8	involved investigating something other than
9	opioids?
10	A. Less than a half dozen.
11	Q. But you have worked on
12	investigations that were not related to opioids?
13	A. The ones I'm well, I guess where
14	are you considering illicit fentanyl?
15	Q. Well, how would you consider illicit
16	fentanyl?
17	A. I consider it an opioid, but it's
18	so then, you know, I'm trying to think of the
19	cases, whether
20	Q. Let me rephrase the question and ask
21	you, how many of those investigations have
22	involved something other than prescription
23	opioids?
24	A. That would be less than a half,
25	probably a half dozen.

Page 371 For example, have you ever worked on 1 a case involving -- an investigation involving 2 steroids? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. Cannabinoids? 5 There may have been some 6 7 cannabinoids in a case, but it was never the primary target. You know, when you arrest some 8 9 of these people, they tend to have that with 10 them. 11 While at TDS have you ever worked on 12 an investigation into a licensed prescription 13 opioid manufacturer? 14 No, sir, I have not. Α. 15 MR. LEDLIE: Objection. 16 MR. BENNETT: I'm going to add an 17 objection that you are not authorized to discuss 18 active investigations at the DEA. Yes, sir. 19 Α. 20 MR. BLOCK: Well, I think I already 21 have the answer to that question. Special 22 Master Cohen, I don't believe I agree with that objection, but I don't know that it matters 23 because I got the answer. But it might for the 24 next question. 25

	Page 372
1	Q. At TDS have you ever worked on any
2	investigation into any licensed distributor?
3	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
4	Objection. Scope.
5	You're not authorized to disclose
6	information regarding specific DEA
7	investigations or activities.
8	MR. BLOCK: May I at least get a yes
9	or no answer to that question?
10	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Yes, you can
11	get a yes or no answer.
12	Do you need it read back?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, because I'm not
14	sure what I'm allowed to answer.
15	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: You can
16	answer yes or no to the question.
17	Q. And my question was, at TDS have you
18	ever worked on any investigation into any
19	licensed distributor?
20	MR. BENNETT: Same objections.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. How many?
23	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
24	You're not authorized to disclose
25	information regarding specific DEA

	Page 373
1	investigations or activities.
2	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: You can
3	answer.
4	A. Two that I'm aware of. There may be
5	another, but I'm not sure.
6	Q. Are either of those investigations
7	closed?
8	A. One is.
9	Q. In the closed investigation were
10	charges brought?
11	A. It ended up being civil.
12	Q. And who was the
13	A. That was the one we talked about
14	before with Agent Brinks where we returned a
15	bunch of files to a facility in Wadsworth. I
16	think it was like a 50 million dollar
17	settlement. I don't remember. I can't think of
18	the name of the company. But that was Scott
19	Brinks was the DI that investigated that case.
2 0	I just assisted on it.
21	Q. DI is diversion investigator?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. Is Mr. Brinks a member of the TDS?
24	A. He was at that time.
25	Q. And what is a diversion

	Page 374
1	investigator?
2	A. It's a non he's not law
3	enforcement, no arrest powers, but they
4	investigate and monitor the Controlled
5	Substances Act. They're in charge of dispensing
6	logs, suspicious activity reports. I don't do
7	their job so I don't know all of their the
8	definition of what they do, but they assist us
9	in our investigations.
10	Q. The second matter involving a
11	distributor that you were testifying about, are
12	you the lead agent on that?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Is that one where you're assisting a
15	diversion investigator?
16	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
17	You're not authorized to disclose
18	the specific DEA investigations and activities
19	regarding those investigations.
2 0	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: You can
21	answer that question.
22	A. I'm assisting in the office.
23	There's I don't know who the lead agent is on
24	it, whether it's a DI or an agent. I'm
25	assisting in the office.

Page 375 Do you know whether -- I'll just ask 1 do you know whether that distributor is a 2 defendant in this lawsuit? 3 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 4 5 You're not authorized to disclose specific DEA investigations or activities. 6 7 Identifying it as a defendant in this case would narrow down who you are investigating and I 8 9 would instruct you not to answer that question. 10 SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: He can answer 11 That's all it asked. yes or no. 12 MR. BENNETT: Special Master Cohen, 13 will you hear argument on that? SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: No. We've 14 got at least two dozen defendants in this case 15 16 and simply a yes or no answer isn't going to 17 identify anybody in a way that would impede or change an ongoing investigation, so you can 18 19 answer yes or no. 20 MR. BENNETT: Special Master Cohen, 21 my concern would be that while there may be two 22 dozen distributors in this case, they're not all 23 within this area, which I think may limit and allow them to determine --24 SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: You can 25

	Page 376
1	answer yes or no.
2	A.
3	Q. While at TDS have you worked on
4	investigations into suspected doctor shopping?
5	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form of
6	the question.
7	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
8	You can answer.
9	A. You mean the patients that are
10	doctor shopping or
11	Q. Well, do you have an understanding
12	what doctor shopping is?
13	A. I do. I'm not sure what your
14	understanding is.
15	Q. Tell me what yours is.
16	A. Individuals who will seek
17	medication, pain medication, from multiple
18	physicians for either the same ailment or a
19	made-up ailment.
20	Q. And using that definition, have you
21	worked any investigations into that kind of
22	doctor shopping while at TDS?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. How many of the 50 plus or minus
25	investigations were into potential doctor

	Page 377
1	shopping?
2	A. Maybe 20, 25.
3	Q. How about, do you have a definition
4	of a pill mill?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. What do you understand a pill mill
7	to mean?
8	A. Individual with a medical license,
9	DEA registration, that is prescribing medication
10	to customers with no medical purpose, basically
11	a drug dealer.
12	Q. Have any of the investigations
13	you've worked on at TDS involved pill mills?
14	A. No, sir.
15	Q. At TDS have any of the
16	investigations involved counterfeit pills?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. How many?
19	A. At least two were counterfeit pills.
2 0	Q. How about, have you done any
21	investigations into thefts of prescriptions?
22	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Vague as to
23	time.
2 4	Q. I'm sorry. I'm trying to focus on
25	your time at TDS because that's what we weren't

Page 378 allowed to ask you earlier. 1 2. Are you talking theft of paper prescriptions, theft of medications? 3 Let's start with theft of, like, 4 0. prescribing pads or forgery of prescribing pads. 5 Have you done investigations into that? 6 7 I haven't done a theft of a prescribing pad for a long time. They're 8 9 getting to the point where if there's any forged 10 prescriptions, some of these guys are good enough that they get safety-backed paper and 11 12 they print their own rather than steal someone's 13 pad. 14 How about theft of prescription Ο. medication? 15 16 Α. Yes. Yes. 17 And how many of the 50 plus or minus investigations were into the theft of 18 prescription medication? 19 20 I don't know exact numbers on it. 21 I'd be quessing. 22 Q. I'm trying to get a sense of the relative predominance of different -- or 23 taxonomy of the types of investigations you've 24 25 worked on.

Page 379

A. Most of my nursing arrests are thefts of drugs. Those cases are brought to me by agents from the Board of Nursing. Those are normally self-medicating RNs and those end up being theft of drugs. Some pharmacy techs normally end up being a theft of drugs. Maybe a dozen. I don't know. A half dozen, dozen.

Q. Thank you.

www.veritext.com

Of the 50 plus or minus investigations that you've worked on, how many have resulted in arrests; do you know?

A. Gosh, I don't have numbers for you on these. Most of the theft of drugs, the deception to obtain, the doctor shoppers, those, typically probably 99, 95 percent of those end up in arrests. If it's a theft from a patient with a home healthcare aide, those rarely end up in arrests. Very difficult to prove. And most of those I didn't even add into the 50 cases because I don't open a case on those. I'll follow through with whether there's anything to follow up on or if I have anything to open a case. For the majority of those there just isn't enough evidence to start anything.

Q. How about, have you made any arrests

	Page 380
1	in counterfeit pill cases?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. How many?
4	A. Well, the one case had three
5	individuals. The other case, we made arrests.
6	I don't know how many individuals there were off
7	the top of my head.
8	Q. At TDS have you done any
9	investigations into physicians or other
10	authorized medical practitioners for improper
11	prescribing?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. How many of those type of
14	investigations?
15	A. Including those that are probably
16	still open, there's probably a dozen of them.
17	Q. Can you describe generally at a
18	general level what the factors are that you look
19	for in determining whether a physician is
2 0	overprescribing?
21	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
22	You're not authorized to disclose
23	information that would reveal investigative or
24	intelligence gathering and dissemination
25	techniques whose effectiveness would thereby be

Page 381 impaired, and I believe that the Special Master 1 has ruled that the document related to this 2. question has also been allowed to be clawed 3 back. So to the extent that you can answer 4 generally without disclosing confidential 5 6 techniques, you may answer. 7 Α. Can you repeat it then for me, please? 8 9 Q. Yes. 10 Could you please describe for us 11 generally the factors that you look for in 12 determining whether or not a physician is -- how 13 do you figure out whether a physician is 14 overprescribing? 15 MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Asked and 16 answered already at the last -- volume II. 17 can give you the pages, but this has been 18 covered. There's a lot of factors that go 19 Α. 20 into it. I mean, whether I get a complaint, 21 someone obviously calls and says, you know, they're having a problem. Sometimes it's a 22 23 family member who will call that one of their children is being, they believe, overprescribed. 24 There's got to be something that brings it to 25

	Page 382
1	our attention first, and then it will fall into
2	more the investigative techniques on what we do
3	once we get a substantial lead in a case to
4	start opening investigations.
5	Q. Have you ever worked on an
6	investigation at TDS into someone for potential
7	overprescribing and concluded that that person
8	was not overprescribing?
9	A. I've worked on cases where I didn't
L O	have enough proof that I could bring charges,
L 1	but not ever where I didn't think there was a
L 2	problem.
L 3	Q. Not ever where you didn't think
L 4	there was a problem?
L 5	A. No.
L 6	Q. But you have worked on cases where
L 7	you couldn't determine or you didn't think you
L 8	had enough proof to decide whether or not
L 9	someone was overprescribing?
2 0	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form of
21	the question.
22	A. I didn't have enough evidence to
2 3	bring charges at that time.
2 4	Q. Have you worked on a case worked
2 5	on an investigation and closed it and not

	Page 383
1	brought charges to improper prescribing?
2	A. Yes, sir, I have.
3	Q. And can we agree that it can be
4	difficult to determine whether a physician is
5	overprescribing?
6	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Vague.
7	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
8	You can answer.
9	A. Sure. There are some doctor
10	cases are some of the most difficult cases to
11	work.
12	Q. Have any of your investigations
13	involved marketing materials related to
14	prescription opioids, any of your investigations
15	while at TDS?
16	A. What type of marketing materials are
17	you talking about?
18	Q. Marketing that the manufacturer of
19	the medicine provides to doctors.
2 0	A. No, sir. Mine have not.
21	Q. Does the TDS maintain statistics on
22	the different types of diversion cases that it's
23	working on?
24	A. Not that I'm aware of.
25	Q. Of the investigations that you've

	Page 384
1	worked on at TDS, how many of those were
2	conducted outside of Summit County?
3	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
4	A. I don't know off the top of my head.
5	We are responsible for pretty much Mansfield
6	north in Ohio. We have about 30 I think it's
7	maybe 32 or 34 counties. And we've had cases
8	all across the northern part of the state. I
9	don't have a number for you.
10	Q. For the ones that you've been the
11	lead agent for, have you sorry. I'll get the
12	question out.
13	Have you been the lead agent on an
14	investigation that was focused outside of Summit
15	County?
16	A. I've been a co-lead agent on some
17	that are outside of Summit County.
18	Q. And you've assisted on
19	investigations that are outside of Summit
20	County?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. Have you worked on investigations
23	that are outside the state of Ohio?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. Do you know how many?

Page 385 At least three of them, maybe four. 1 Α. 2 Q. What percentage of prescription 3 opioids, diverted prescription opioids, in the city of Akron have been diverted from areas 4 outside the city of Akron? 5 I would have no idea or no way of 6 7 calculating that number. Q. Why not? 8 9 I don't know where pills come from. 10 Just because someone has them, they could have 11 filled them in a pharmacy in Florida. I don't 12 know when I investigate someone -- if they're 13 not cooperating and telling me where they came from, I got no way of determining it myself. 14 15 If we go back through -- let me make 16 sure -- we talked about doctor shopping 17 investigations, pill mill investigations or lack 18 thereof, counterfeit pills, thefts of prescription medication, improper prescribing. 19 20 Have I left out any categories of diversion type 21 investigations that you've worked on at TDS? 22 Α. Not that I can think of. 23 And then so going back through those, I'd like to find out in general the 24

length of time it takes to conduct an

25

	Page 386
1	investigation into these different types of
2	diversion. So starting with doctor shopping, is
3	there either a range or an average length of
4	time it takes to do a doctor shopping
5	investigation?
6	A. It depends how clearcut it is.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Q. How about for a pill mill
16	investigation?
17	A. I haven't done any pill mill
18	investigations.
19	Q. Do others at TDS work on pill mill
20	investigations?
21	A. We haven't done one in our office
22	that I'm aware of.
23	Q. How about counterfeit pill
24	investigations?
25	A. Those can be somewhat lengthy. We

	Page 387
1	open and close.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	Q. How about theft of prescription
7	medication, like you mentioned the arrests of
8	nurses who were in effect self-medicating, I
9	think you said?
10	A. Nurses are normally fairly easy.
11	That's a quick case because the nursing board
12	brings them to me and they have to cooperate
13	with the nursing board they can lose their
14	license. So I pretty much get handed that case
15	on a silver platter. Again, couple weeks until
16	it's done.
17	Q. How about the improper prescribing
18	cases?
19	A. Well, those are going to take a lot
2 0	more time.
21	
22	
23	Some doctor
2.4	cases take two, three, four years. There's no
2 5	real timeline of when it has to be done by or

Page 388 how quickly we do it. 1 Does the length of time -- the types 2. Q. 3 of cases that you're working on at TDS, are those -- the types of diversion cases, is that 4 similar to the kind of diversion cases you worked for --6 7 MR. LEDLIE: City of Akron. Thank you -- for the City of Akron 8 Q. 9 before you joined the TDS? 10 They are. They're similar, 11 especially the doctor shopper, some of the cases 12 that I've charted on both city and DEA. The 13 only difference would be, with a larger team and more resources, we can work the doctor 14 15 overprescribing cases, where with the City of 16 Akron it took a lot more time and a lot more 17 resources since it was only me in -- in the 18 unit. But even at TDS, if I -- I want to 19 Q. 20 make sure I understood your testimony correctly. 21 Even at TDS, with all the resources, it can 22 still take multiple years to do an improper 23 prescribing investigation? 24 It can. Α. Who at TDS determines the priority 25 Q.

	Page 389
1	of work?
2	A. Our group supervisor.
3	Q. And who is that today?
4	A. Today it's Hans Charters.
5	Q. And before Mr. Charters it was
6	Ms. Foster?
7	A. Denise Foster, correct.
8	Q. And who at TDS tells you which
9	matters you should be working on?
10	A. My group supervisor, GS.
11	Q. Do you know who gives the GS the
12	priorities for the TDS, for example? Does the
13	GS report to somebody else?
14	A. Well, he's going to report to the
15	RAC, but we I don't know that they give
16	direction on what cases to work at that point.
17	We pretty much report to them what cases we're
18	working and how our cases are coming along.
19	Q. Have you ever done an investigation
20	at TDS have you ever done an investigation
21	into perceived or potential overprescribing
22	without the use of undercover without the use
23	of some sort of surveillance?
24	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form of
25	the question.

Page 390 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 1 2. You can answer. 3 So I don't think you can do any case Α. without some type of surveillance. I'm not sure 4 where you're -- when you threw surveillance in 5 6 at the end, you changed the question on me. Can 7 you repeat it? So in every case where you've 8 9 arrested someone -- sorry. All of the doctor 10 shopping investigations have involved 11 surveillance of the suspect? 12 Α. No, not doctor shopping cases. 1.3 O . So I'm just trying to figure out for an improperly prescribing case, can you do one 14 15 of those without some sort of surveillance of the target of the investigation? 16 17 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 18 You can answer. 19 Α. I don't believe you can, no. 20 Have you worked on any -- can you do Q. an improper prescribing case without the use of 21 22 a -- without help from a medical expert? MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form. 23 24 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. You are not authorized to disclose 25

	Page 391
1	the internal deliberative process of the United
2	States Department of Justice. To the extent you
3	can answer this question based on your personal
4	capacity, you can answer.
5	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: I think it
6	was a yes or no question.
7	A. So yes, I think you need a medical
8	expert.
9	Q. And you've worked with medical
10	experts before?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. And you said you reviewed the file
13	from the Dr. Harper case?
14	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Misstates
15	testimony.
16	A. I did not review the file. I
17	reviewed the sentencing memorandum.
18	Q. And you worked on the Dr. Harper
19	investigation?
20	A. I did.
21	Q. Were you the lead agent?
22	A. I was.
23	Q. And how long did that investigation
24	take?
25	A. Oh, you know, I reviewed it. I

	Page 392
1	don't remember when he was sentenced. It
2	started in maybe 2010. In 2009 he became he
3	was an Ob-Gyn that decided to be a pain
4	management specialist. We started getting
5	complaints shortly after that. So probably at
6	least three or four years it took for that case.
7	Q. And the entire time you were
8	investigating Dr. Harper but before he was
9	arrested, he was prescribing opioids to
10	patients?
11	A. He was, yes.
12	Q. And did you tell any pharmacists
13	during the course of the investigation that you
14	were investigating Dr. Harper?
15	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form to
16	the extent it reveals sensitive police matters
17	of conversations with pharmacists.
18	MR. BENNETT: And I'll object
19	Q. Let me rephrase the question, if I
20	may.
21	During the course of the
22	investigation did you send out warnings to
23	pharmacies that they shouldn't fill
24	prescriptions from Dr. Harper?
25	A

Page 393

Q. And did you contact any distributors of medications and say they shouldn't distribute to pharmacies where Dr. Harper might be writing prescriptions?

overprescribing physician, it's your name and your reputation and your business that's going to be liable. And that was the extent of it.

Let them make the decision whether they felt it was necessary or legal to fill the prescription.

Q. Did a pharmacist ever ask you how you define medical prescription for a legitimate medical purpose?

MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
You can answer.

- A. No.
- Q. How do you define legitimate medical prescription for a legitimate medical purpose?

 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.

Scope.

1.3

	Page 394
1	You can answer.
2	A. Again, this is why we need a medical
3	expert when we do these cases, but when you're
4	writing for the holy trinity, and I've got
5	patients from offices that have died from
6	overdoses, I think common sense dictates on some
7	of it that some of the pharmacists should be
8	able to see what the prescriptions are and
9	refuse to fill.
10	Q. I didn't follow writing for the holy
11	trinity.
12	A. Holy trinity was when they're
13	getting 180 oxycodone, 90 methadone and 90 Xanax
14	all from the same doctor every month on the same
15	script, same three scripts.
16	Q. Other than that?
17	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.
18	Vague.
19	A. Other than that, what?
20	Q. So I take it you would, in your view
21	the well, let me ask it this way: Is the
22	holy trinity could that ever be for
23	legitimate medical purposes?
24	MR. BENNETT: Objection.
25	A. Again, I'm not a medical I'm not

Page 395

a doctor. I didn't spend time in medical 1 school. I don't believe it is. Just because 2. 3 the numbers were so high on most of those. Obviously if there's someone at a legitimate 4 cancer treatment center that is suffering, then 5 absolutely. There's definitely areas where the 6 7 norm is not going to be the norm, where we have to swing the other way, and I absolutely agree 8 9 with that. These are just -- I'm discussing the 10 Harper case.

Q. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. These are people that didn't have a legitimate need for medication and he continued to write them after they were testing positive for other drugs in their system and they were testing negative for the drugs that he prescribed them to be in their system and still writing the same prescriptions every month for them. That was part of the problem.
- Q. What's the significance of the testing negative for the drugs that had been prescribed?
- A. Well, if they're not in your system, you're not taking them, you're either selling them or they're being diverted to someone

Page 396 they're not supposed to be. 1 2. We can agree that the pharmacist doesn't have the blood test results for the 3 individual patient? 4 MR. LEDLIE: Objection to the form. 5 Calls for speculation. 6 7 Yes, we can agree the pharmacist doesn't have that information. 8 9 We can agree that distributors of 10 the pharmaceuticals don't have the medical 11 records of the patients who are being prescribed 12 medications? 13 Α. I would agree with that, yes. And neither do the manufacturers of 14 Ο. the medications? 15 16 And I would agree with that as well. 17 The Harper case, did that get started on a tip from a pharmacist? 18 MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form of 19 20 the question and objection to the extent it calls for the divulging of any non-public police 21 22 investigative techniques. 23 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. I would join counsel. 24 MR. BLOCK: This is a closed case 25

	Page 397
1	that has resulted in charges and I'm not asking
2	for a specific person.
3	MR. LEDLIE: You're asking about how
4	he investigated a case, which those facts were
5	never part of the public proceedings in this
6	case and have never been revealed, and so I
7	stand on my objection and instruct the witness
8	not to answer.
9	MR. BENNETT: And I join in the
10	objection.
11	MR. BLOCK: I'd like the Special
12	Master to rule on that, please.
13	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: I just want
14	to make sure I understand which question it is
15	that we're talking about. Why don't you ask the
16	question again.
17	Q. Did the Harper case get started on a
18	tip from a pharmacist?
19	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: You can
20	answer that yes or no.
21	A.
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 398

Q. Did you receive assistance from any pharmacist in connection with the Harper case?

MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.

You are not authorized to disclose confidential sources or information that's not publicly disclosed in the case. To the extent that answer has been publicly disclosed, you may answer.

MR. BLOCK: I think I can at least get -- Special Master Cohen, we, as you know, disagree that Touhy has any application here, so I don't fully understand the basis of the instruction, but for purposes of this question, I just want a yes or no.

SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: To answer your Touhy point, I understand, I think I agree with you, but I also think that these go to law enforcement privilege regardless of whether he's a federal employee, and, again, I think this is a question you can answer just yes or no.

- Q. And the question, again, was, did you get assistance from pharmacists in connection with the Harper case?
- A. .

25 .

2.

	Page 399	
1	Q. Did you seek any assistance from	
2	distributors in connection with the Harper case?	
3	A. I did not.	
4	Q. How about from manufacturers?	
5	A. No, sir.	
6	Q. Why not?	
7	A. Wasn't part of my case.	
8	Q. Okay. That answer applies to both	
9	distributors and manufacturers?	
10	A. Yes, sir.	
11	Q. Could you describe, please, your	
12	general practice if you get a complaint or a	
13	tip about perceived diversion, do you have a	
14	general practice for following up?	
15	A. I mean, I investigate everything	
16	that is assigned to me.	
17	Q. Okay. And how do fair enough.	
18	At TDS have you ever used suspicious	
19	order reports?	
20	A. No, sir.	
21	Q. Do you know what a suspicious order	
22	report is?	
23	A. Yes, sir.	
24	Q. What is a suspicious order report?	
25	A. That would be mostly handled by the	

Page 400 diversion investigators. If a pharmacist was 1 receiving a lot more medication than maybe per 2. 3 capita, they could be dispensing in an area. If it was mostly numbers, I believe that -- if an 4 area was getting something they shouldn't get, 5 that would alert, red flag diversion. 6 7 Why don't you use suspicious order 0. reports? 8 9 Α. We have a diversion investigator in 10 our office. If they use them and that's one of 11 the resources they use to refer a case to us, 12 it's very possible. I don't ask them how they 13 come up with all of their information. I just take my part and stay in my lane and do my part 14 15 of the job. 16 How many of the investigations that 17 you've worked on was assigned to you by a diversion investigator? 18 Nothing is assigned to me by a 19 20 diversion investigator. That would go through 21 the chain of command. 22 Well, do you know whether any of the 23 investigations you've worked on involved

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

something related to -- you know, had input

based on suspicious order reports?

24

25

	Page 401
1	MR. LEDLIE: I'm going to object to
2	the form and object to the extent you're asking
3	any questions about any open investigations.
4	MR. BENNETT: I'm going to object.
5	Vague.
6	MR. BLOCK: I'm following up on the
7	prior
8	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: They haven't
9	instructed him not to answer. They're just
L O	lodging form investigations. You can answer.
L 1	A. I believe they have. I couldn't
L 2	give you a number or how many, but I know that's
L 3	part of the information gathering during the
L 4	investigation that determines whether we would
L 5	follow up on a case or open a case.
L 6	Q. What sort of cases do you believe
L 7	what sort of investigations do you believe
L 8	suspicious order reports have been used for?
L 9	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
2 0	You can answer.
21	A. Pharmacy investigations.
22	Q. What do you mean by that?
23	A. Whether a particular pharmacy is
2 4	overprescribing or filling prescriptions they
2 5	shouldn't.

	Page 402
1	Q. Any other types of investigations?
2	A. I don't use that data. I'd be
3	guessing.
4	Q. That's what I'm trying to make sure
5	you don't do.
6	So have you worked on any I think
7	that's a type of investigation that we haven't
8	talked about yet. Have you, Detective Leonard,
9	worked on any pharmacy investigations at TDS?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. How many?
12	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry?
13	MR. BENNETT: He can answer yes or
14	no to the last question. He looked at me for
15	instruction. This next question
16	Q. How many pharmacy investigations
17	have you worked on?
18	MR. BENNETT: You can answer that
19	question.
20	A. I'm currently working one.
21	Q. Have you worked on any before?
22	A. I guess I've got to clarify in my
23	head. I've worked on a lot of investigations
24	where I've arrested pharmacy technicians, I've
25	arrested pharmacists, but in those, even though

	Page 403
1	they were partially in my mind pharmacy
2	investigations, I wasn't investigating the
3	pharmacy per se. So I just want to make clear
4	on your question what you're looking for.
5	Q. Sure. Well, I'm following up based
6	on your answers, but let's see if we can unpack
7	this.
8	The prior cases that you worked on
9	involving pharmacists, was that where the
10	pharmacist was maybe self-dealing?
11	A. You know, either theft for
12	medication himself, or a current one that has
13	just been indicted in Summit County for
14	trafficking, supplying medication to his
15	girlfriend, so normally it's either theft or
16	trafficking.
17	Q. And then I think you were also
18	talking about a kind of investigation into a
19	pharmacy where the pharmacy was, if I followed
20	you, filling too many prescriptions?
21	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
22	I think his answer was that he had
23	done a pharmacy investigation, I don't think he
24	gave any of the specifics of that investigation,
25	and I would instruct that he's not authorized to

	Page 404
1	disclose any specific investigation or
2	activities into pharmacies. So your question as
3	far as what he was investigating the pharmacy
4	for is beyond the scope of his authorization and
5	would reveal confidential law enforcement
6	investigations.
7	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Why don't you
8	go ahead and answer and see how far we get.
9	THE WITNESS: That's currently an
10	open investigation.
11	MR. BLOCK: And, Special Master, I'm
12	referring to the prior answer, which is the
13	witness said pharmacy investigations; he means
14	whether a particular pharmacy is overprescribing
15	or filling prescriptions they shouldn't, and I'm
16	trying to figure out if he's worked on one of
17	those.
18	A.
22	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Stop.
23	Special Master Cohen, may we speak
24	with you ex-parte in camera about this issue?
25	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: We may have

Page 405 to do that, but let me see if we can get past it 1 2. without it. I want you to start asking other -let's see how far we get. Ask a different 3 question because I'm not sure exactly where we 4 5 are. 6 MR. BENNETT: And Special Master 7 Cohen, we are going to request that portions of this transcript be marked highly confidential, 8 9 attorney eyes' only, regarding the questions 10 regarding distributors and regarding active 11 investigations of pharmacies and whether it's 12 the parties to this case. 13 MR. BLOCK: I think that's the way around a law enforcement investigation, too, 14 15 Your Honor. 16 SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Maybe. 17 Setting aside any active investigations on which you are currently 18 working at TDS, have you at TDS ever worked 19 20 previously on a pharmacy investigation, your 21 definition, a pharmacy that was overprescribing 22 or overfilling? 23 Α. No, sir. 24 Q. Do you use ARCOS data in your work 25 at TDS?

	Page 406
1	A. I do not. I had an ARCOS password
2	at one point several years ago. I have not used
3	it, no.
4	Q. When you had the password, did you
5	ever use it in connection with investigations?
6	A
10	Q. In the course of investigations with
11	the DIs that's the diversion investigators?
12	A
13	Q. So in the course of investigations
14	that you work on, do you ever go to DIs and ask
15	them to do something with ARCOS to help you in
16	the investigation?
17	A.
2.2	O What was Dra
23	Q. What was Dr. charged with? A. Trafficking. I'm not sure if it was
24 25	possession of drugs. He had multiple charges.
	possession of arags. He had marerpre charges.

	Page 407
1	Q. To the layman, what was he doing
2	wrong?
3	A. Well, he wasn't keeping any
4	MR. BENNETT: Objection.
5	You can answer.
6	A. He wasn't keeping proper records, no
7	dispensing records, so where the pills went
8	wasn't where they were supposed to go.
9	Q. What kind of doctor was Dr.
10	A. I'm not sure if he was an M.D. or a
11	D.O.
12	Q. And where was his office?
13	A.
14	
15	
16	
17	Q. Were you the lead investigator in
18	the Heim case?
19	A. No, sir, I was not.
20	Q. Lead agent?
21	A. I'm not an agent. I'm a task force
22	officer. So it could be either way.
23	Q. Who was the lead?
24	A. I believe that was Diversion
25	Investigator Brinks was the lead on that one.

	Page 408
1	Q. Do you know how long the
2	investigation took?
3	A. No, sir, I don't.
4	Q. Was it years?
5	A. I don't know.
6	Q. Do you know where Dr. was
7	getting the medication from?
8	A. I may have at the time, but I don't
9	at this point.
10	Q. Do you know of anyone at TDS that
11	uses ARCOS data other how many diversion
12	investigators are on the TDS?
13	A. One.
14	Q. Do you know of anyone else on the
15	TDS that uses ARCOS data other than the
16	diversion investigator?
17	A. I believe some of the agents do.
18	Q. Do you know what for, generally what
19	they use it for?
2 0	A. The same things the DIs use it for,
21	intelligence gathering.
22	Q. Why are there some agents that use
23	it but you don't?
24	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.
25	A. I haven't had a case where I've

Page 409 needed to use it. 1 What would a kind of case be that 2. Q. would require the use of ARCOS data? 3 Well, if I was the lead on one of 4 those doctor cases, then I may need to use it. 5 6 Being a co-lead or an assist on it, the lead agent was using it so I didn't need to log in to get that information. 8 9 OARRS, do you use OARRS in your work at TDS? 10 11 I do. Α. 12 Can you say generally what you use Q. 1.3 OARRS for? 14 OARRS is used for one of two things. Α. 15 You can run patient profiles through OARRS or I can run physician OARRS. Physician OARRS will 16 17 give me a list of all the physician's patients and what he's prescribed to them in the past two 18 19 years. 20 And at a general level, you can use Q. 21 that to look for patterns of things that look 22 odd? 23 I can at a general level, but you're 24 not allowed to use it as a fishing expedition. You have to have merit and probable cause to be 25

		Page 410
1	running a phy	sician's OARRS, so something else
2	is going to l	ead up to me having enough
3	suspicion tha	t I can run an OARRS on a
4	physician.	
5	Q. A	t a general level, what does it do
6	for you with	respect to patients?
7	А.	
8		r
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	Q. I	s OARRS helpful for you in terms of
17	your work at	TDS?
18	M	R. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
19	Y	ou can answer.
2 0	A. I	t is.
21	Q. W	ould your job be harder if you
22	didn't have C	ARRS?
23	M	R. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
24	A. I	t would be more time-consuming.
2 5	Prior when	. I was a detective working

	Page 411
1	prescription medication prior to OARRS, then I
2	would have to go to every single pharmacy, get a
3	patient profile, print it out from the
4	pharmacist, take it back to my office and enter
5	it into an Excel spreadsheet, and that's when
6	doctor shopper cases took me a month instead of
7	a couple weeks.
8	Q. So OARRS has increased the speed
9	with which you can resolve doctor shopping
10	cases?
11	A. It's increased the speed we can do
12	anything. It makes the data readily available.
13	Q. Have you ever determined the total
14	number of opioids that are prescribed to the
15	residents of the City of Akron?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Or Summit?
18	A. No, sir.
19	Q. Or Cuyahoga County?
20	A. No, sir.
21	Q. Why not?
22	A. That would be for one, it would
23	be something that I don't know that I would ever
24	need to do that, and it would be, I would think,
25	almost an impossible feat to run every I'd

	Page 412
1	have to run every physician in Summit, in
2	Cuyahoga County, and, one, I'm not authorized to
3	run physicians that aren't under my scope of an
4	investigation, so I would be violating OARRS by
5	running all those people.
6	Q. At any of your investigations at TDS
7	have you worked with the Ohio Medical Board?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. How many times?
LO	A. It would be a guess. Eight to ten.
L1	Q. Is there a particular type of
L 2	investigation on which you work with the Ohio
L 3	Medical Board as opposed to others?
L 4	A. Normally it would be a physician.
L 5	Q. So that would be an overprescribing
L 6	investigation or other types as well?
L 7	A. It could be any type of physician
L 8	investigation.
L 9	Q. Are there ever investigations of
2 0	physicians where you don't work with the Ohio
21	Medical Board?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. What's the distinguishing factor?
2 4	A. One might be whether they bring us
25	the case or not. If we determine we need their

	Page 413
1	assistance, we would call and ask for their
2	assistance.
3	Q. Do you know how many of the
4	investigations you've you, Detective Leonard,
5	have worked on at TDS involving physicians have
6	been brought to your attention by the Ohio
7	Medical Board?
8	A. I do not know.
9	Q. Does the Ohio Medical Board bring
10	cases to the attention of TDS?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Is that a common occurrence?
13	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
14	A. It's happened a couple times in my
15	seven and a half years with the TDS.
16	Q. How would you describe your working
17	relationship with the Ohio Medical Board?
18	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
19	You can answer.
20	A. Good.
21	Q. Should the board be bringing more
22	cases to your attention?
23	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
24	It's outside the scope of your
25	authorization. You're not answering on behalf of

Page 414 DEA. You may answer in your personal or Akron 1 2. capacity. 3 I guess -- I don't know. I assume that -- and assuming gets me in trouble -- they 4 brought all their cases -- if they need 5 6 assistance, they come to us, the same as we 7 would go to them. There's a reciprocation there. 8 9 Ο. Is the Ohio Medical Board, in your 10 view, proactive enough in terms of investigating 11 potential doctor misconduct related to 12 prescription opioids? 13 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Same instructions as before. 14 15 addition, you're not authorized to disclose any 16 non-public information in forming your opinions. 17 To the extent that you have an opinion based on public information or information you acquired 18 outside of your work at DEA, you may answer. 19 20 All of my opinions are based on my 21 work, so I don't believe I'm allowed to answer 22 that. MR. BLOCK: Well, then I quess I 23 need a ruling on that objection because it's not 24 law enforcement privilege, so I don't know what 25

Page 415 the basis for the objection or the limitation 1 is. 3 MR. LEDLIE: I would object in part on law enforcement privilege. 4 MR. BENNETT: I would indicate that 5 under the authorization letter, he's not 6 authorized to form opinions as a task force officer on non-public facts or information. 8 9 You've asked him to -- in his view, whether the 10 medical board is proactive enough. To the 11 extent that he has information he's acquired as 12 a task force officer that's not public 13 information, he wouldn't be able to use that as the basis for his opinion. That was my 14 instruction. 15 16 SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: You can 17 answer that yes or no again. The question is whether the Ohio 18 19 Medical Board has been proactive enough in 20 investigating misconduct by doctors related to 21 prescription opioids. I don't know what all they 2.2 investigate. They don't share their 23 investigation techniques with us or who they 24 have investigated. When we have worked with 25

	Page 416
1	them, it has been positive. Like I said, I
2	don't know how many other doctors they
3	investigate because they don't report to us, so
4	for me to form an opinion on whether they're
5	proactive enough, I don't have enough
6	information to make that decision.
7	Q. You said they've been cooperative
8	when you've worked with them. In the cases in
9	which you've worked with the Ohio Medical Board,
10	have you gone to the board and said I need your
11	help with something?
12	MR. LEDLIE: Object to form.
13	Misstates testimony.
14	A. We have.
15	Q. Have there been cases where it's
16	been the board coming to you and saying we need
17	help with something?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. And you said that was a couple of
2 0	times?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. So more often than not when you work
23	with the board, it's at your initiative?
24	A. No. It's probably 50/50.
25	Q. $50/50$ of the eight to ten

	Page 417
1	investigations over your time at TDS?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. We're going to do the same thing.
4	We're going to talk about the Ohio Board of
5	Pharmacy.
6	While at TDS have you had any
7	investigations in which you've worked with the
8	Ohio Board of Pharmacy?
9	A. I have.
10	Q. How many?
11	A. This goes back you know, that's
12	more than with the medical board, so probably at
13	least a dozen or so of those cases involve the
14	pharmacy board.
15	Q. And is there a particular type of
16	diversion case where you've worked with the
17	pharmacy board, you know, doctor shopping versus
18	theft versus counterfeit pills?
19	A. Well, I to give you an example,
20	this past pharmacist that I arrested, I did all
21	that I could do for the investigation and I came
22	to a dead end. I
23	
24	
25	

	Page 418
1	
2	Q. And this was an individual who was
3	cribbing from the shelf I'm guessing?
4	A. Taking whatever he wanted.
5	Q. And so that would be a theft type of
6	diversion case?
7	A. It was a theft and a trafficking.
8	Q. Because he would take it and then he
9	would sell it?
L O	A. Yes, sir.
L 1	Q. And has the pharmacy board ever
L 2	brought cases to your attention and asked for
L 3	your help in working them?
L 4	A. Yes, sir.
L 5	Q. How many times has that happened?
L 6	A. Some of those doctor shopper cases,
L 7	the board would send me information. The board
L 8	has helped us on they've helped us in other
L 9	cases. I just can't think of some of the
2 0	different cases they've helped us on. I
21	assisted writing a search warrant for the Board
22	of Pharmacy for a case in Cuyahoga Falls and I
23	can't think of the name of the doctor's office
2 4	that we were working on.
2 5	Q. In your view, has the Board of

Page 419 Pharmacy been proactive in trying to combat 1 diversion of prescription opioids? 2. 3 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Vaque. 4 5 Same instructions. On the cases I've worked with them 6 Α. 7 on, yes, they've been proactive. And on the cases you've worked on 8 9 with them, some of those have been cases where 10 you have gone to the board and said I need your 11 help with something? 12 Α. Correct. 13 And you don't know whether the board was even aware of the issue before you went to 14 15 them and said I need your help? 16 MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form. 17 Calls for speculation. 18 On the last one they were not aware of it. I brought the information to them. 19 20 Has there been any change in the Ο. 21 level -- you've been at TDS for how many years 2.2. now? 23 Α. Since February of 2012, over seven 24 years. 25 Q. Has there been any improvement on

	Page 420
1	the level of cooperation from the Ohio Medical
2	Board I'm going back to the medical board
3	over time while you've been at TDS?
4	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
5	A. I think we've always had good
6	cooperation. I don't know better or worse.
7	Q. Same question for the Board of
8	Pharmacy?
9	MR. BENNETT: Same objection.
10	Vague.
11	A. Probably the same answer. I've been
12	working with some of these people for 20 years,
13	so there's been an established relationship.
14	Q. So some of these folks you worked
15	with prior to TDS when you were a City of
16	Akron
17	A. Detective.
18	Q. The drug unit or
19	A. No. I was a detective in the
20	diversion unit.
21	Q. Diversion unit. Thank you.
22	Have you worked with the FBI in
23	connection with any investigations at TDS?
24	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
25	You may answer that question yes or

	Page 421
1	no only.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. How many times?
4	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
5	You can answer.
6	A. Maybe three or four times.
7	Q. In terms of the type of diversion
8	cases, is there a type of diversion case where
9	the FBI gets involved?
10	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
11	A. We had an FBI agent as a member of
12	our task force. He recently retired. So for
13	four years he was part of our task force. He
14	brought information as well. So even if it was
15	opened as a DEA case, we worked together on some
16	of them.
17	Q. What period of time was there an FBI
18	agent on the TDS?
19	A. I've had two different ones, so
2 0	maybe four or five years out of the seven.
21	Q. Is there an FBI agent on the TDS
22	now?
23	A. No. He recently retired, last fall.
24	Q. When you said you worked with the
25	FBI on maybe three occasions, were you talking

	Page 422
1	about the agent at TDS?
2	A. No. I was talking about the
3	Dr. Harper case. The FBI got involved in that
4	towards the end. And then some other cases with
5	that agent.
6	Q. And why did the FBI get involved in
7	the Dr. Harper case?
8	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
9	You're not authorized to disclose
10	the internal deliberative process of the
11	Department of Justice, you're not authorized to
12	disclose confidential law enforcement
13	investigative techniques, the effectiveness of
14	which would be impaired, and you're not
15	authorized to disclose specific DEA activities
16	that are non-public. To the extent you can
17	answer, you may.
18	THE WITNESS: Can I ask him a
19	question real quick?
20	MR. BENNETT: Can we step out for a
21	minute?
22	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: That's fine.
23	(Short recess had.)
24	MR. BENNETT: Thank you for letting
25	us confer with the witness. After talking

Page 423 through the answer with the witness, he is 1 2. authorized to answer the question, so you can 3 provide the information we discussed. The question with working with the 4 FBI, there was a -- as part of the Harper 5 investigation, there was a task force for 6 7 Medicare/Medicaid fraud and the FBI was part of that task force. Dr. Harper was also convicted 8 9 of Medicare/Medicaid fraud and I worked with the 10 FBI on that case. 11 MR. BLOCK: Why don't we take our 12 morning break for this deposition now. 13 MR. BENNETT: Can I ask what the 14 schedule is for the day for the three witnesses? MR. BLOCK: Let's go off the record. 15 16 (Recess had.) 17 I want to go back to the Dr. Harper case for a second. 18 Were any of the prescriptions that 19 20 Dr. Harper was writing over the course of the 21 time that he was being investigated valid 22 prescriptions? 23 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vaque. Objection. Calls for a medical conclusion. 24 25 Q. You may answer.

		Page 424
1	A. I	don't believe they were, no.
2	Q. E	very single one of them?
3	А. У	es.
4	Q. H	ave you ever investigated doctors
5	who were writ	ing some prescriptions you thought
6	were valid an	d others you thought were invalid?
7	A. G	osh, I don't know how
8	Q. L	et's unpack that for one second.
9	Н	ow do you define an invalid
10	prescription	
11	М	R. LEDLIE: Objection.
12	Q	- from a doctor?
13	М	R. LEDLIE: Object to the form to
14	the extent it	calls for the revealing of any
15	investigative	techniques or sensitive police
16	matters.	
17	М	R. BENNETT: Same objection.
18	A. B	y definition, it has to have a
19	medical purpo	se.
2 0	Q. H	ave you ever been investigating
21	doctors who w	ere prescribing some medications to
22	patients for	a medical purpose and others where
23	you thought t	here was no medical purpose to the
24	prescription?	
25	A. I	would think, yes, probably. Part

	Page 425
1	of the investigation, though, is we're focusing
2	on the illegal prescriptions. I'm not analyzing
3	and critiquing all the patient files and records
4	that may or may not be legitimate or
5	illegitimate.
6	Q. Following that up, there may have
7	been some patients seeing Dr. Harper who had
8	legitimate medical needs and were taking the
9	medication that he prescribed and it was helping
L O	them with their medical needs. Is that right?
L 1	MR. LEDLIE: Objection to the form.
L 2	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for
L 3	speculation. Objection. Scope.
L 4	You can answer.
L 5	A. I don't believe so. Maybe when he
L 6	was an Ob-Gyn, he was once he switched to
L 7	pain management, no.
L 8	Q. And why do you say that?
L 9	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
2 0	You can answer.
21	A
22	
23	
24	
2 5	

888-391-3376

	Page 426
1	
2	So no, I don't believe any of
3	Dr. Harper's patients, once he became a pain
4	management physician, quote, unquote, were
5	legitimate.
6	Q. How about Dr. ; were any of
7	Dr. 's prescriptions legitimate?
8	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
9	Objection. Scope.
10	You can answer.
11	A
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	•
19	Q. And Dr. , is it ?
20	A. or .
21	Q. However it's spelled. He was
22	registered with the DEA?
23	A. He had a DEA registration.
24	Q. Which allowed him under the law to
25	have scheduled substances delivered to his

```
Page 427
    office, right?
1
                 MR. LEDLIE: Objection to form.
2.
                 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for
3
    a legal conclusion.
4
5
           Α.
                 Yes, sir.
6
                 Have you ever opened an
7
    investigation based solely -- into a
    physician -- well, let me rephrase it. I'll
8
9
    make it even more general.
10
                 Have you ever started an
11
    investigation related to diversion based solely
12
    on the volume of prescriptions being written?
13
           Α.
                 I personally have not, no.
14
                 Have you ever started an
           0.
15
    investigation related to diversion based solely
16
    on the volume of prescription opioids being
17
    dispensed?
18
                 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
19
           Α.
                      There's always more factors
                 No.
20
    that are looked at before an investigation is
21
    opened.
                 Volume alone can't tell you that
2.2
           Q.
23
    something is necessarily wrong?
24
                 MR. LEDLIE: Object to form.
                 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
25
```

	Page 428
1	You can answer.
2	A. No.
3	Q. In any of your investigations at TDS
4	have you worked with the IRS?
5	A. I don't think so.
6	Q. How about local law enforcement?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And is local law enforcement always
9	cooperative with TDS?
10	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
11	A. Yeah. I think it's just based on
12	you know, in my dealings with local law
13	enforcement, because I am local law enforcement,
14	I have a good rapport with most individuals, so
15	yeah, I think our communication and our
16	cooperation is pretty good with local law
17	enforcement.
18	Q. Let me just probe that a little bit
19	because I watch TV and I see these shows,
20	they're on my turf and stuff. So if you're
21	doing an investigation outside of Akron, maybe
22	somewhere where you don't regularly work, have
23	you ever got the vibe that people weren't
24	thrilled that the task force was there?
25	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.

	Page 429
1	Objection. Scope.
2	You can answer.
3	A. No. Normally what I like to do is
4	reach out to whatever department it is, if
5	possible, and bring one of them on board and
6	say, Hey, listen, we're going to be working this
7	in your area, can you supply somebody to assist
8	us, or at least let them know we're there. We
9	don't always do that, but it's beneficial when
10	we do.
11	
12	(Thereupon, Leonard Deposition
13	Exhibit 30, E-Mail String Beginning
14	Bates Number AKRON_000368859, was
15	marked for purposes of
16	identification.)
17	
18	Q. I hand you what has been marked as
19	Leonard Exhibit 30. This is an e-mail with an
20	attachment. It bears Bates label
21	AKRON_000368859 through 368861. And the e-mail
22	is I think the original e-mail is dated May
23	5th, 2015.
24	Detective Leonard, do you recognize
25	the e-mail?

	Page 430
1	A. It's an e-mail sent to me from
2	Courtney Janish, who was our administrative
3	assistant in the DEA diversion unit or in the
4	DEA TDS.
5	Q. And you asked Ms. Janish to send you
6	a copy of a draft DEA-6.
7	Do you see that? That's the second
8	entry.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What's a DEA-6?
11	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
12	You can answer.
13	A. It's a confidential report, details
14	of the case.
15	Q. Generally what is it used for?
16	A. Prosecution.
17	Q. And then the last page of this
18	document, is that the DEA-6 that you do you
19	know what the last page of this document is?
20	A. No, but it's definitely not a DEA-6.
21	Q. Do you recognize the last page of
22	this document?
23	A. I do not.
24	Q. A mystery perhaps. We'll continue.
25	A. It looks like something possibly

	Page 431
1	from the Harper case.
2	Q. Why do you say that?
3	A
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Q. The facts here seem consistent to
10	you with some of what was going on in the Harper
11	case?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. All right. What does it mean with
14	the do you understand what top 25 patient
15	names means?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What's that mean?
18	A.
21	MR. BENNETT: I'll stop you.
22	Detective Leonard, I'm going to
23	object based on scope. You're not authorized to
24	disclose confidential law enforcement
2 5	investigative techniques.

	Page 432
1	MR. BLOCK: Special Master Cohen,
2	may I have a ruling on that objection because I
3	think he's just instructed him not to answer?
4	MR. BENNETT: I don't know if he
5	said that it is a confidential technique. I'm
6	not sure what he's talking about. So maybe I
7	can step out and speak to the witness.
8	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: If you can
9	answer the question without revealing
10	confidential law enforcement techniques, then
11	you should.
12	THE WITNESS:
15	MR. LEDLIE: Move to strike his
16	answer based on law enforcement privilege.
17	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: I'm going to
18	let that stand. Maybe why don't you try to ask
19	a different question.
2 0	MR. BLOCK: I think in the interest
21	of time, I'll move on. Thank you, Special
22	Master Cohen.
23	Q. Dr. Harper, did he have a DEA
24	registration?
25	A. He did.

	Page 433
1	Q. Did he have a DEA registration the
2	entire time he was being investigated?
3	A. I believe so, yes.
4	Q. At the outset when I asked you
5	(Interruption.)
6	Q. After I so rudely interrupted
7	myself, at the beginning I was asking you about
8	opioids and you were asking me how we are
9	defining fentanyl. Do you remember?
10	A. Yes. Back in the beginning, yes.
11	Q. Have you worked at any
12	investigations at TDS involving fentanyl?
13	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
14	You can answer that yes or no.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Is that prescription fentanyl or
17	fentanyl that's coming in like for lack of a
18	better term, illicit fentanyl that's not
19	manufactured by a licensed manufacturer?
2 0	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
21	You can answer.
22	A. Both. Some of the investigations
23	started as manufactured fentanyl and ended up
24	being illicit, some were illicit, and some of
25	the investigations one of the investigations,

	Page 434
1	, started as a
2	prescription oxycodone investigation and ended
3	up as an illicit fentanyl case.
4	Q. Did the fake oxycodone tablets have
5	fentanyl in them?
6	A. Yes, they did.
7	Q. And can we agree that this it was
8	like illicit fentanyl, right?
9	MR. BENNETT: Objection.
L O	Q. It wasn't fentanyl purchased from a
L 1	licensed manufacturer of fentanyl here in the
L 2	United States?
L 3	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
L 4	Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony.
L 5	Q. You may answer.
L 6	MR. BENNETT: Yes, you can answer.
L 7	A. Yes, it was illicit.
L 8	Q. And do you know whether it came to
L 9	the U.S. from outside the country?
0 2	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
21	You're not authorized to disclose
22	specific DEA investigations or activities that
2 3	are not public. To the extent the answer to
2 4	that question is publicly known, you may answer.
2 5	A. The interview with the suspect is

	Page 435
1	not public information.
2	Q. Has there been an arrest made in
3	that case?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Has the criminal case been is it
6	still pending?
7	A. No. It's closed. He pled guilty
8	and is serving time in a federal penitentiary.
9	MR. BENNETT: Then I think you can
10	answer that question. If it's closed and he was
11	convicted, then you can answer a question, so I
12	withdraw my objection to answering that specific
13	question, where it came from.
14	A. Okay. The suspect said that the
15	fentanyl came from Mexico.
16	Q. And can we agree that fentanyl
17	coming into the country from outside the United
18	States is at least part of the opioid let me
19	make sure I got the terms correct as you used
2 0	them from the prior deposition. Is there an
21	opioid epidemic presently in your view,
22	Detective Leonard?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And is there an opioid crisis
25	presently?

	Page 436
1	A. Well, a crisis comes before an
2	epidemic I think, so yeah, we've already passed
3	crisis. We're still at the epidemic.
4	Q. Can we agree that illicit fentanyl
5	is part of the opioid epidemic?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And do you know whether there are
8	drug trafficking organizations that traffic
9	diverted prescription opioids into northeast
10	Ohio or within northeast Ohio?
11	A. I'm sorry. Can you say it again?
12	Q. Right.
13	Do you know whether there are drug
14	trafficking organizations that traffic diverted
15	prescription opioids in northeast Ohio?
16	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
17	You can answer that yes or no.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Can we agree that the activities of
20	those drug trafficking organizations are part of
21	the reason for the opioid epidemic?
22	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And those drug trafficking
25	organizations are some of them are getting

	Page 437
1	those diverted prescription opioids from outside
2	northeast Ohio and bringing them into northeast
3	Ohio?
4	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
5	You can answer that question yes or
6	no only.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Some of them are getting diverted
9	prescription opioids from outside the United
10	States and getting them into northeast Ohio?
11	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
12	You can answer that question yes or
13	no only if you know.
14	A. I don't know the answer to that
15	question.
16	Q. The counterfeit pills are part of
17	the opioid epidemic?
18	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.
19	A. Yes.
2 0	Q. And some of those counterfeit pills
21	come from outside of northeast Ohio and are
22	brought into northeast Ohio?
23	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Misstates
24	testimony.
25	A. Yes.

	Page 438
1	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
2	You can answer yes or no.
3	Q. And some of those counterfeit pills
4	come from outside of the United States into the
5	U.S. and eventually to northeast Ohio?
6	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
7	You can answer if you know.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And these counterfeit pills a
10	licensed manufacturer of prescription opioids is
11	not involved in the making of counterfeit pills;
12	can we agree on that?
13	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form of
14	the question.
15	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And we can agree that licensed
18	distributors of medications are not involved in
19	the with counterfeit pills, at least not
20	knowingly?
21	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Vague.
22	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And we can agree that licensed
25	pharmacies are not intending to be distributing

	Page 439
1	or dispensing counterfeit pills?
2	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
3	You can answer.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And we can agree that licensed
6	manufacturers are not selling medications
7	directly to drug trafficking organizations?
8	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form of
9	the question.
10	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
11	A. Not that I'm aware of.
12	Q. And licensed distributors are not
13	distributing medications directly to drug
14	trafficking organizations?
15	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
16	A. Not that I'm aware of.
17	Q. Licensed pharmacies, are licensed
18	pharmacies dispensing medications directly to
19	drug trafficking organizations?
2 0	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
21	You're not authorized to disclose
22	any specific DEA investigations or activities.
23	A. Not that I'm aware of.
24	Q. Can we agree that heroin is part of
25	the opioid epidemic?

	Page 440
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And licensed manufacturers do not
3	manufacture heroin?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. And licensed distributors do not
6	distribute heroin?
7	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form of
8	the question.
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. And licensed pharmacies do not
11	dispense heroin?
12	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form of
13	the question. Vague as to time.
14	A. Not that I'm aware of.
15	Q. And
16	A. Can we go back to when it comes
17	to the fake prescriptions, they're making them
18	to look like 30 milligram and 15 milligram
19	oxycodone, so people on the street that are
20	buying those fake pills are under the impression
21	that they're buying manufactured legitimate
22	medications. They don't know they're buying
23	fentanyl. So they're buying those thinking
24	they're getting oxycodone, which is what they
25	want, and they're not getting that medication,

	Page 441
1	so that I don't know if that clears up or
2	even though they may not be the product made by
3	they think they're buying your product
4	they think they're buying that product that came
5	from legitimate sources.
6	Q. Well, they think they're buying it
7	from somebody on the street as opposed to going
8	to a doctor and getting a prescription and going
9	to a pharmacy to get it filled?
10	A. And they're thinking that that
11	person went to the pharmacy and got it filled
12	and now they're selling their prescriptions.
13	Q. And can we agree that someone who is
14	buying prescription medication on the street not
15	via prescription and not from a licensed
16	pharmacist, they're contributing to the opioid
17	epidemic?
18	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form of
19	the question.
20	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. That's a crime?
23	A. It is.
24	Q. That's a crime that you investigate?
25	A. It is.

Page 442 The drug trafficking organizations, 1 2 that's been going on for -- you were aware when 3 you were -- prior to your time at TDS you were aware that there were drug trafficking 4 organizations trafficking prescription opioids 5 in northeast Ohio? 6 7 Α. Yes. Were you aware of illicit fentanyl 8 prior to your time at TDS? I'm just trying to 9 10 get a sense for how long this has been going on. 11 I don't -- it wasn't a red flag 12 problem. I don't know that there was illicit 13 fentanyl investigations that I did prior to 2012. I can't be --14 15 When is the first illicit fentanyl 16 investigation you remember doing? It was at DEA. I knew -- there were 17 18

A. It was at DEA. I knew -- there were some that I didn't do that were going on in my office that some of the detectives that handled the heroin death investigations were doing probably in that, guessing, maybe '14, '15. I'm not sure of exact years when they started investigating illicit fentanyl.

Q. Have you done any investigations into carfentanil?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 443
1	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
2	You can answer that question yes or
3	no only.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Is that while at TDS?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And carfentanil, is that a
8	prescription opioid?
9	A. I believe it is for elephants. I
10	believe there is a legitimate prescription for
11	that, legitimate need for that medication.
12	Q. But it's not something where a
13	patient can go to a doctor and get a
14	prescription for carfentanil?
15	A. Not that I'm aware of.
16	Q. And it's not something that a
17	pharmacy can fill for a patient?
18	A. No, sir.
19	Q. How many carfentanil investigations
20	have you worked on at TDS?
21	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
22	You can answer if you know.
23	A. I believe it came up in one
24	investigation.
25	Q. And did the carfentanil come to the

	Page 444
1	United States from outside the United States?
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. Was there an arrest made in that
4	investigation?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Was there a conviction?
7	A. I believe it's still pending.
8	Q. Heroin use, there was heroin prior
9	to your time at TDS?
10	A. There was heroin prior to my birth.
11	Q. And so I'm not sure we got an answer
12	to this at the last deposition. When did the
13	opioid epidemic begin?
14	A. I don't have an exact time frame
15	when it began. I don't think it began before I
16	went to TDS in 2012. We definitely had a
17	crisis. We were trying to manage it as best we
18	could. To put a time and date on when it became
19	a crisis I wasn't at that point I was
20	working opioid investigations with the DEA. I
21	wasn't on the front line with the guys in my
22	office that were working the heroin/fentanyl
23	death investigations. So I'm not sure I can
24	give you a month and year when I think it began.
25	Q. Fair enough.

www.veritext.com

	Page 445
1	What data would we need to look at
2	to based on your definitions of crisis versus
3	epidemic, what is it that we need to look for
4	that we can figure out when that happened,
5	that's when the epidemic must have started?
6	A. Somewhere around the area where we
7	were starting to have casualties, and then we
8	had mass casualties on some weekends, so there
9	was a direct increase in overdoses and overdose
L O	deaths.
L1	Q. When you say "casualties," does that
L 2	mean a death?
L 3	A. Yes, sir.
L 4	Q. And prior to your time at TDS you
L 5	were aware of people who had died of drug
L 6	overdoses?
L 7	A. Yes, sir.
L 8	Q. So it's not just a casualty that
L 9	makes it an epidemic, it's the number of deaths?
2 0	A. Correct.
21	Q. And what, in your view, is the
22	number of deaths that move something from a
23	crisis to an epidemic?
2 4	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.
25	Misstates testimony.

Page 446 I don't know that I have a number. 1 Every life is valuable. Prior to going into 2 2012, the overdose deaths that were part of any 3 of my cases were from opioid prescription 4 5 medication. Some were enhanced with cocaine or other illicit drugs. But it wasn't a multiple 6 7 weekend where you would have a half dozen people that died on a weekend from one batch of bad 8 9 drugs. So I don't have a number to say five 10 deaths, ten deaths, 30 deaths. 11 Q. Understood. 12 The rise in the number of deaths, is 1.3 that correlated to the prevalence of illicit 14 fentanyl in part at least? You got more people 15 dying of fentanyl now, is that part of the 16 reason it's an epidemic now? 17 I believe that's part of it, yes. And whether or not another 18 19 prescription opioid is -- were ever prescribed, 20 dispensed, again, in the United States, there 21 would still be an issue with fentanyl and 2.2. heroin? 23 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vaque. Calls for speculation. 24 Objection. 25 MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Compound.

	Page 447
1	THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to
2	answer?
3	MR. BENNETT: Yes, you can answer if
4	you have an answer.
5	A. Yes. Heroin has been here for a
6	century. I mean, heroin has been a problem for
7	a long time.
8	Q. And some of that heroin is brought
9	into the United States by drug trafficking
10	organizations?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. From sophisticated drug trafficking
13	organizations?
14	A. I would agree with that statement.
15	Q. And from both inside and outside the
16	United States?
17	A. I believe so, yes.
18	Q. And I think we can agree that the
19	opioid crisis there was an the opioid
20	crisis started prior to the time you joined TDS
21	in 2012?
22	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.
23	A. It definitely continued to rise from
24	the time I started working prescription
25	investigations in 1998 to the time I joined the

Page 448 TDS in 2012. There was an increase of opioid 1 2. abuse that led to a crisis. 3 And opioid abuse was abuse of both Q. prescription and non-prescription opioids? 4 5 When I originally started working the prescription investigations, I didn't work 6 7 any illicit investigations, so I don't have any knowledge of that during that time frame. 8 9 was all prescription opioids. 10 Today, what forms of diversion of 11 prescription opioids are most prevalent in 12 Akron? 13 Α. We still have plenty of doctor 14 shoppers. We still have people that are -- we 15 have overprescribers. We have some physicians 16 that, I believe, still overprescribe. We have 17 theft of drugs. There's always theft of drugs 18 from elderly in nursing homes. We have nurses 19 that have stolen drugs. I mean, no matter what 20 profession it is, there's a small percentage 21 that aren't legitimate. 22 Q. What is that percentage? 23 Α. Gosh, if I knew that, I'd run for I don't know. 24 president.

25

Q.

But it's a small percentage?

	Page 449
1	A. I believe so, yes. We have an
2	excellent medical staff in Summit County, we
3	have excellent nurses and physicians, but
4	there's bad apples in the group.
5	Q. But they're the exception and not
6	the rule?
7	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.
8	Calls for speculation.
9	A. I believe so, yes.
L O	Q. And in your experience, the vast
L 1	majority of medical professionals are good
L 2	medical professionals?
L 3	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Calls
L 4	for
L 5	Q. They're not intentionally diverting
L 6	medications?
L 7	A. In my opinion, the majority are good
L 8	professionals, yes, good medical professionals.
L 9	Q. And the majority of citizens in
0 2	Akron are good citizens who are not doctor
21	shopping?
22	A. Yes.
2 3	Q. Are you aware of any studies to
2 4	assess the relative prevalence of diversion in
25	Akron?

	Page 450
1	A. No, sir.
2	Q. Is that something you've ever looked
3	for?
4	A. No, sir.
5	Q. Is that information that would be
6	helpful to you?
7	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
8	A. I don't know if I'm so busy that
9	I don't know that that would be beneficial or
10	not.
11	Q. The opioid crisis that you were
12	testifying about, has that changed at all over
13	time?
14	A. It's continued to get worse. I
15	mean, the crisis elevated to an epidemic.
16	Q. Has it changed at all in any other
17	way? I think we've discussed that fentanyl
18	maybe wasn't so much in the picture before and
19	it is now. Any other changes?
20	A. Well, things have evolved over time,
21	like we discussed. Originally people thought
22	they were buying oxycodone and then they were
23	getting fentanyl. So things have evolved. I
24	mean, nothing is constant except change. So I'm
25	not sure if I'm answering your question or

	Page 451
1	Q. You are. Thank you. I appreciate
2	that.
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. Are you aware of any data regarding
5	how common it is for someone who abuses heroin
6	to have first to have previously used a
7	prescription opioid?
8	A. I received an internal e-mail at one
9	point with of a medical study on it that
10	showed, I believe it was, 80 or 85 percent of
11	heroin users started with a prescription opioid.
12	Q. And did that study say where the
13	prescription opioid came from?
14	A. I don't recall.
15	Q. We can agree a person can get a
16	prescription opioid without ever actually having
17	gotten a prescription for that opioid?
18	MR. BENNETT: Objection.
19	You can answer.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. That's part of what you're
22	investigating?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you know how common it is to
25	the extent there is a history of prescription

Page 452 opioid use to heroin use, how common it is that 1 2. the prescription opioid use started with an 3 actual prescription from a doctor for that patient who went to the pharmacy and got it 4 filled versus they got it from their brother, 5 they stole it from a friend, they bought it on 6 7 the street? Objection. Compound. 8 MR. LEDLIE: 9 Α. I only know this from some of the people I interviewed after arresting them, that 10 11 they had started with a prescription opioid. 12 have no idea what percentage of the population 13 started with a prescription opioid or obtained one by deception. 14 15 When you say they started with a prescription opioid --16 17 A legitimate prescription opioid. Α. 18 0. And how many of those interviews 19 have you done? 20 Gosh, I -- probably a hundred. Α. 21 is just talking with people as I arrest them. 22 Q. Right. And they tell you the name 23 of the doctor and they show you the prescription 24 and they tell you which date they went and what their condition was and who they saw and where 25

Page 453 they got it filled and all that stuff? 1 2. MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Compound. 3 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. I don't ask them those questions. I Α. 4 normally either have an OARRS report, or prior 5 to OARRS I had done a spreadsheet. I know what 6 7 doctor they went to and where they got it from. So those are -- most of my percentages are 8 9 knowing that they received legitimate 10 prescription medication. Now, I don't know that 11 all those people went and did heroin or fentanyl 12 afterwards, but I know that I've arrested those 1.3 doctor shoppers for receiving legitimate medications from physicians. 14 15 And part of the way you are able to 16 find a doctor shopper is through the use of 17 OARRS? 18 Α. Yes, that's part of the way. 19 I lost my train of thought. One 20 second. Sorry about that. 21 Is that something you, Detective 22 Leonard, have ever tried to investigate or 23 determine, how prevalent it is for someone who's 24 using heroin to have previously received an actual prescription from an actual licensed 25

Page 454 doctor for a prescription opioid? 1 2. Α. No. 3 0. Why not? It wasn't part of my case. If I'm Α. 4 doing a criminal case, once I establish my 5 6 probable cause, I make my arrest. It turns over 7 to the court. I move on to the next case. not following up on that particular item. 8 9 0. The doctor shoppers that you've 10 arrested, are they all abusing -- are they all 11 abusing the prescription opioids themselves or 12 are -- I quess what I'm getting at, are they 13 shopping around to then use them themselves or 14 are they shopping around to accumulate a supply 15 and then sell it to others? 16 It's a little bit of both. 17 tend to network. If they're calling in fake prescriptions or they share DEA numbers if they 18 19 have a physician's DEA number to call in fake 20 prescriptions for themselves or others, they 21 tend to work in groups. So they'll share pills, they'll trade pills, they'll use pills, kind of 22 23 all of the above when it comes to the pills. 24 And am I correct that one of -- in Q. addition to -- one of the other concerns about 25

Page 455 doctor shoppers is that this may be a way in 1 2. which drug trafficking organizations can harvest more pills, they can end up getting some from 3 these folks who are going around and getting 4 them from multiple pharmacies? 5 MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form. 6 7 You may answer. Normally the doctor shoppers are 8 Α. 9 pretty much their own little clique or their own 10 group. There have been investigations where 11 someone would take a group of people to a bad 12 doctor, get prescriptions and take them to the 13 pharmacy and pay them for a flat line \$20 or \$50 to have the prescription filled on their 14 Medicare/Medicaid, and then they would keep all 15 16 the medication and sell it. But that's 17 different than a doctor shopper, that's part of a DTO, a drug trafficking organization, that is 18 19 set up for that purpose. 20 And have you worked on any DTO investigations at TDS of the kind you just 21 22 described? 23 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 24 You may answer that question yes or 25 no only.

	Page 456
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And how many?
3	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
4	You can answer.
5	A. A couple. I don't know. Two or
6	three.
7	Q. Have any of those resulted in
8	convictions?
9	A. They're still currently under
10	investigation.
11	Q. Is there information or data that
12	you don't currently have access to at TDS, that
13	if you had access to it, you think you could be
14	more effective in your investigations?
15	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.
16	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for
17	speculation.
18	You can answer.
19	A. I mean, I don't know what I don't
20	know, so I don't know if there's anything out
21	there that could help me or not. I haven't come
22	across any information of something that might
23	be out there.
24	Q. You mentioned limitations on your
25	ability to query OARRS, that you have to have

Page 457 probable cause or something before you could run 1 2. certain OARRS queries; is that right? 3 Α. Sure. That works like LEADs, the law enforcement gateway. So if I wanted to put 4 your name into OHLeg, into OARRS, and I wanted 5 to run you to see what your criminal history 6 7 was, I'd have to have probable cause for it or I'm violating your rights. The same thing with 8 9 I don't have the right to look up your 10 patient profile unless I've got probable cause 11 to see that you've done something wrong. 12 Is that something you think should 1.3 be changed so that you should be able to look at 14 OARRS more frequently? 15 MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form. 16 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Absolutely not. I believe 17 Α. individuals have their personal rights and 18 19 privacy. 20 Have you ever looked at commercial Q. prescriber level data that, you know, companies 21 22 like IMS produce? 23 Α. No. 24 Q. Are you aware of what IMS is? Α. 25 I am not.

2.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 458

- Q. Do you know whether the TDS has ever obtained IMS information?
 - A. I don't know that information.
- Q. Do you know if there's anything that prevents DEA from purchasing that information?

 MR. BENNETT: Object to the form of the question.
 - A. I'm not aware of the information.
- Q. And did I understand that you have to have probable cause in order to run certain OARRS queries related to the physician as opposed to of an individual patient?
- A. It's the same for both. I have to have some type of suspicion to run someone. I can't just go out on a fishing expedition and pick Dr. Smith at the Cleveland Clinic and decide I want to run his OARRS and see who he's prescribing to. I have to have some type of complaint, some type of suspicion, some type of reason -- reasonable suspicion to run it.
- Q. And just in practice, how does that work? You police yourself as to whether or not you have probable cause before you run the OARRS query?

MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.

Page 459 In other words, do you have to apply 1 2 to OARRS and say I'm running a query on this person and here's my probable cause? 3 Α. 4 No. You got a log-in and password, 5 0. right? 6 7 Α. Right. And once I run Joe Smith doctor shopper, I don't get the information. 8 Ιt 9 gets forwarded to my supervisor, who has to 10 approve my running of OARRS, and then the information is sent to me. So there's a checks 11 12 and balance in OARRS. 13 Q. Does that mean you have to give your supervisor some information so he can -- what's 14 15 your supervisor basing the approval on? 16 MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form to 17 the extent this calls for any revealing of police investigative techniques. I would assert 18 19 the law enforcement privilege. MR. BENNETT: And I would also 20 21 object based on scope. 22 You're not authorized to disclose 23 the internal deliberative process of the DEA. I also would object, calls for speculation. 24 those instructions, you can answer within those 25

Page 460

bounds.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- When I run someone through OARRS, I let my supervisor know, hey, I'm running this quy, I'm looking into whether it's an open case or not or I'm going to open a case. And I believe part of the disclosure -- you got a copy of my OARRS book where I list the date I ran someone, who I ran. I list the physician, I think, and I list who referred it to me, whether it was another officer, whether it was a pharmacist or a physician, or whoever called and gave me whatever information I felt necessary that I had enough information to run. So I keep a log of all the people I run through OARRS. That way I cover myself that I'm not just -someone can't say, hey, you ran your ex-wife and you're trying to cause problems.
- Q. Has your request to run OARRS on someone ever been denied by your supervisor?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. And I can't remember if I asked you this before. Do you ever look at volume data, volume of prescriptions, volume of dispensing, as a potential way to identify people who you should investigate?

	Page 461
1	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
2	A. That information could come from a
3	DI when they run ARCOS or check something. I
4	have not done that, but yes, that's possible.
5	Q. But you don't know whether I
6	think I want to make sure. Do you know
7	whether a DI has ever given you said I think
8	we should investigate X whatever or whoever X is
9	based on volume?
10	A. I'm not aware of how they my
11	belief is they have other information besides
12	just volume when they're doing that
13	investigation.
14	MR. BLOCK: I'm going to yield the
15	floor to co-counsel with the indulgence I may
16	have one or two follow-ups.
17	MR. BENNETT: Does the court
18	reporter know how long we've been on the record,
19	because I have it as us being on almost two
20	hours already?
21	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: We will go
22	off the record and you can do a count.
23	(Short recess had.)
24	EXAMINATION OF PATRICK LEONARD
25	BY MR. GOLDSTEIN:

Page 462 Good morning, Detective Leonard. 1 We've met before. I'm Josh Goldstein. 2. represent one of the manufacturers in this case. 3 Just a couple quick follow-up questions. 4 5 Is there any data that you receive in your work at the TDS in the aggregate related 6 to volume within the jurisdictions for which the TDS operates? 8 9 I don't personally receive any of that information. 10 And to clarify, on the volume of 11 12 prescription opioids written? 13 Α. Yes. And, again, I don't personally receive any information of numbers or volume. 14 15 Is that information that people that 16 work at the TDS review to your knowledge? 17 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 18 Objection. Calls for speculation. 19 You can answer within your 20 knowledge. 21 I don't know what everyone else's job specifications are. I am aware that ARCOS 22 23 is used by TDS and volume and numbers are reviewed. I don't know how much or how often. 24 25 Q. As far as you're aware, is ARCOS or

	Page 463
1	any other volume data used to identify target
2	areas within the geographic area in which TDS
3	operates?
4	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
5	A. It can be.
6	Q. And can you explain?
7	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
8	You are not authorized to disclose
9	confidential law enforcement investigative
10	techniques, the effectiveness of which would be
11	impaired by disclosure. To the extent you can
12	answer without disclosing confidential
13	techniques, then you can answer.
14	A. That would be a law enforcement
15	technique.
16	Q. Without getting into what specific
17	data you look at, is it fair to say that folks
18	within TDS look at I'll strike the question.
19	That's all I have.
2 0	FURTHER EXAMINATION OF PATRICK LEONARD
21	BY MR. BLOCK:
22	Q. I just had one more, which is you
23	testified earlier, Detective Leonard, that
2 4	you're working on an investigation into a
25	distributor that's one of the Defendants in the

	Page 464
1	case, and my follow-up question to that is, is
2	that a distributor that is national in the scope
3	of its operations?
4	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Beyond the
5	scope of the authorization. Would reveal facts
6	about an ongoing investigation. Instruct the
7	witness not to answer.
8	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Sustained.
9	MR. BLOCK: Then I have no further
10	questions. Nice to meet you. Thank you, sir.
11	EXAMINATION OF PATRICK LEONARD
12	BY MR. LEDLIE:
13	Q. Detective Leonard, are you familiar
14	with the Controlled Substances Act through your
15	detective work?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Under the Controlled Substances Act,
18	are there rules concerning who is allowed to
19	make, distribute and dispense scheduled drugs
2 0	A. Yes.
21	Q in America?
22	And generally what are the rules
23	that you're aware of with respect to the
24	obligations of registrants in the DEA for
25	scheduled drugs?

Page 465 Object to form. MR. BENNETT: 1 2. Α. They're responsible for the safe distribution of medications to the public. 3 MR. BENNETT: I'm sorry for 4 belating. I was reading it. Objection. Scope. 5 But I'm not instructing you not to answer so 6 7 it's okay that you answered it. Objection. It's beyond the scope since you're speaking for 8 9 DEA. 10 In your personal work have you --Q. 11 are you aware of the concept that DEA 12 registrants must maintain effective controls 1.3 against diversion? 14 Α. Yes. 15 In your tenure with the TDS, has the manufacturers and distributors of scheduled 16 17 opioid medications maintained effective controls to prevent diversion? 18 19 MR. BLOCK: Objection. Form. 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 21 You're not authorized to disclose 22 information regarding specific DEA activities 23 and investigations that are non-public. You also are not authorized to use non-public 24 information in forming fact or expert opinions. 25

	Page 466
1	To the extent that you have an opinion that is
2	based on public information or information you
3	acquired outside of your role with the DEA, you
4	may answer.
5	A. So your question again?
6	Q. My question, Detective Leonard, is,
7	during your tenure with the TDS has diversion
8	been a continuous problem?
9	A. Yes.
L O	Q. At a general level, is it your
L 1	personal opinion, based on the public disclosure
L 2	of convictions, court records, cases that you've
L 3	worked is it can you tell me whether or
L 4	not the registrants who have supplied opioids to
L 5	the jurisdictions that you've worked in were
L 6	effective in preventing and having effective
L 7	controls to prevent diversion?
L 8	MR. BLOCK: Object to the form.
L 9	A. I don't believe they were.
2 0	MR. LEDLIE: That's all I have.
21	FURTHER EXAMINATION OF PATRICK LEONARD
22	BY MR. BLOCK:
23	Q. What registrants did you mean in
24	your last answer? You're talking about
2 5	Dr. Harper wasn't effective?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 467

- A. No. I'm talking about the market of Summit County with the number of opioids being prescribed overall.
- Q. Explain the basis for your belief that any particular manufacturer or distributor was not effective in preventing and having effective controls to prevent diversion.
- A. The epidemic, by definition, grew out of opioid prescription medication. My personal belief is that the number of people that became addicted to prescription medication has driven the number of people that are addicted to both prescription medication and illicit opioids that have been part and -- of our epidemic.
- Q. That's based on something you haven't studied? You haven't done any studies about that, right?
- MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.

 Misstates testimony.
 - A. I have investigated prescription opioid abuse for 21 years in Summit County.
 - Q. You've never investigated a pharmaceutical manufacturer?
 - A. No, sir. We've established that.

	Page 468
1	Q. You've never investigated a
2	pharmaceutical distributor except for one
3	pending investigation?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. And so the basis for your opinion is
6	that there is an epidemic, therefore, somebody
7	must have done something wrong?
8	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Misstates
9	testimony.
10	A. That kind of sums it up in a real
11	vague way, but I think there could have been and
12	should have been more controls at all levels,
13	and that being pills in the market is part of
14	it.
15	Q. And would that include the DEA
16	should have had lower quotas for prescription
17	opioids?
18	MR. BENNETT: Objection.
19	You can answer.
2 0	A. I don't know what the quotas are so
21	I don't know if they should have been higher or
22	lower.
23	Q. Is it your view that there are too
24	many opioids in circulation?
25	A. There are.

Page 469 So is it your view that the quota 1 should be lower? 2. MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Calls for 3 speculation. 4 5 Certain regions may be higher than 6 others, so I can't say -- you know, the 7 population of Chicago should obviously have more opioids from a distributor than the population 8 9 of, say, Warren, Ohio. Should have been more controls at 10 all levels. Is one of those levels the federal 11 12 level? Back to your prior answer. Your view is 1.3 there should have been more controls at all levels. Is one of those the federal level? 14 15 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vaque. 16 MR. LEDLIE: Object to form. 17 I wasn't talking about law 18 enforcement at that point. I was talking about distributors. 19 20 Q. Does law enforcement have any 21 responsibility whatsoever? 2.2 Α. We react. Law enforcement reacts to 23 what's going on. We don't have the manpower to investigate every crime that's happening. 24 supply and do the best we can with what we have 25

	Page 470
1	and we've been overwhelmed.
2	Q. How about the medical board; does
3	the medical board have some responsibility?
4	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Asked and
5	answered.
6	Strike that. You may answer.
7	A. They have investigation ability.
8	Whether they have responsibility towards people
9	overdosing and dying, I don't think that any of
10	law enforcement has the responsibility for that.
11	We have the responsibility to investigate for
12	the reasons. I don't know that we're
13	responsible for people that have abused
14	medication and died over it.
15	Q. How about the pharmacy board?
16	A. And they continue to do
17	investigations as well.
18	Q. Do they have any responsibility for
19	the opioid epidemic?
2 0	A. I don't believe so, no.
21	MR. LEDLIE: Object to the form.
22	Q. How about the state legislature?
23	A. I am not involved with the
2 4	government.
25	Q. How about the individuals who are

	Page 471
1	abusing medications?
2	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Asked and
3	answered.
4	A. Sure, I think they have some
5	responsibility.
6	Q. How about the individuals who are
7	how about the drug traffickers that are
8	trafficking drugs?
9	A. I believe so. They're taking
10	advantage of a situation.
11	Q. The doctors who prescribe
12	medications?
13	MR. LEDLIE: Objection. Vague.
14	A. Yes.
15	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: I think we're
16	at our time limit.
17	MR. BLOCK: I was following up on
18	the redirect that my opponent did, but okay.
19	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: If you have
20	just a couple more questions, that's fine.
21	MR. BLOCK: Thank you. Nice to see
22	you.
23	MR. BENNETT: Before we go off the
24	record, the United States hereby specifically
25	requests that the transcript and the information

```
Page 472
    contained therein be marked highly confidential,
1
    attorney eyes only until the DEA has had the
2
    chance to vet the transcript. Once it's been
3
    vetted, we may be able to reduce portions of
4
5
     that that are not highly confidential and
    subject to attorney eyes only.
6
7
                 SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Any
    objection?
8
                 MR. BLOCK: No, Your Honor.
9
10
                 SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: That's fine.
                 MR. BLOCK: Just that it be done
11
12
    timely.
13
14
           (Deposition concluded at 10:15 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 473
1	Whereupon, counsel was requested to give
2	instruction regarding the witness' review of
3	the transcript pursuant to the Civil Rules.
4	
5	SIGNATURE:
6	Transcript review was requested pursuant to
7	the applicable Rules of Civil Procedure.
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT DELIVERY:
10	Counsel was requested to give instruction
11	regarding delivery date of transcript.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

```
Page 474
                  REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
1
 2
     The State of Ohio,
                           ) SS:
 3
     County of Cuyahoga.
 4
 5
               I, Renee L. Pellegrino, a Notary Public
 6
 7
     within and for the State of Ohio, duly
     commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify
8
9
     that the within named witness, PATRICK LEONARD, was
10
     by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the
11
     whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause
12
     aforesaid; that the testimony then given by the
13
     above referenced witness was by me reduced to
     stenotypy in the presence of said witness;
14
     afterwards transcribed, and that the foregoing is a
15
16
     true and correct transcription of the testimony so
17
     given by the above referenced witness.
               I do further certify that this
18
     deposition was taken at the time and place in the
19
20
     foregoing caption specified and was completed
21
     without adjournment.
2.2
23
24
25
```

	Page 475
1	I do further certify that I am not a
2	relative, counsel or attorney for either party,
3	or otherwise interested in the event of this
4	action.
5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
6	my hand and affixed my seal of office at
7	Cleveland, Ohio, on this 29th day of May, 2019.
8	
9	
10	
11	leve L. Pellegrino
12	selle L. Pelligrino
13	Renee L. Pellegrino, Notary Public
14	within and for the State of Ohio
15	
16	My commission expires October 12, 2020.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Page 476
                              Veritext Legal Solutions
1
                                  1100 Superior Ave
                                     Suite 1820
 2
                               Cleveland, Ohio 44114
 3
                                 Phone: 216-523-1313
      May 29, 2019
5
      To: James Ledlie, Esq.
 6
      Case Name: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation
7
      Veritext Reference Number: 3389786
8
      Witness: Patrick Leonard Deposition Date: 5/23/2019
9
10
      Dear Sir/Madam:
11
      Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness
12
      review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the
13
      included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and
14
      the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and
15
      forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address
      shown
16
      above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.
17
18
      If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of
19
      this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.
20
21
      Sincerely,
      Production Department
22
23
24
25
      NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA
```

	Page 477
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW
	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	
2	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3389786
3	CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation DATE OF DEPOSITION: 5/23/2019
4	WITNESS' NAME: Patrick Leonard
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.
7	I have made no changes to the testimony
	as transcribed by the court reporter.
8	
9	Date Patrick Leonard
10	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a
_ ,	Notary Public in and for the State and County,
11	the referenced witness did personally appear
	and acknowledge that:
12	
	They have read the transcript;
13	They signed the foregoing Sworn
14	Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of
14	their free act and deed.
15	eneri rice dec dia deca.
	I have affixed my name and official seal
16	
	this, day of, 20
17	
1.0	Not a rest Dublic
18 19	Notary Public
1 J	Commission Expiration Date
20	Commission Expiracton Dacc
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 478						
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW						
	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS						
2							
	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3389786						
3	CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation						
	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 5/23/2019						
4	WITNESS' NAME: Patrick Leonard						
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil						
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of						
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.						
7	I have listed my changes on the attached						
0	Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as						
8 9	well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered						
Э	as part of the record of my testimony.						
10	as pare or the record or my testimony.						
10	I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well						
11	as this Certificate, and request and authorize						
	that both be appended to the transcript of my						
12	testimony and be incorporated therein.						
13							
	Date Patrick Leonard						
14							
	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a						
15	Notary Public in and for the State and County,						
16	the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that:						
17	They have read the transcript;						
1	They have lead the transcript, They have listed all of their corrections						
18	in the appended Errata Sheet;						
	They signed the foregoing Sworn						
19	Statement; and						
	Their execution of this Statement is of						
20	their free act and deed.						
21	I have affixed my name and official seal						
22	this, day of, 20,						
23							
	Notary Public						
24							
٥٦	Gammi nai an Baninati a Bata						
25	Commission Expiration Date						

				Page 47
	ERR	ATA SHEET		
VER	ITEXT LEG	AL SOLUTIO	ONS MIDWES	ST
	ASSIGNME	NT NO: 338	89786	
PAGE/LINE(S)	/	CHANGE	/RI	EASON
Date			Leonard	
SUBSCRIBED A				
DAY OF			_, 20	_ •
	 Notary Pu	blic		
	1			
•		n Expirat:		

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

[& - 45132] Page 1

&	20001-3743	3	420 359:18,18,19
& 352:21 354:2,6	354:14	30 358:5 384:6	421 359:19,20
	2009 392:2		422 359:20
354:12,16 355:3,7	201 355:12	429:13,19 440:18	423 359:21
362:4 363:3,5,21	2010 392:2	446:10	424 359:21,22,22
364:1,6,6	2012 406:7 419:23	303 355:23	425 359:23,23,24
0	442:14 444:16	310 354:9	426 359:24
000368859 358:5	446:3 447:21	313 353:15	427 359:25 360:3,3
429:14,21	448:1	32 384:7	360:4
02199 355:8	2015 429:23	330 355:13	428 360:4,5
1	2019 352:18 475:7	338-3344 355:5	429 358:5 360:5
100 353:22	476:4	3389786 476:7	430 360:6
100 333:22 10:15 472:14	202 354:14,19	477:2 478:2 479:2	431 353:14
1100 352:21 354:4	2020 475:16	34 384:7	432 360:6
476:1	21 467:22	353 357:3	433 360:7,7
12 475:16	21202-1031	358 357:4	434 360:8,8,9
1200 355:22	353:23	359 357:5	436 360:9,10
13 406:7	214 356:5	35th 355:4	437 360:10,11,11
14 442:21	215 355:18	364 357:8	360:12
15 365:12 404:18	216 353:10,19	368861 429:21	438 360:12,13,13
440:18 442:21	354:5	371 359:3	360:14,14
1500 387:2 434:1	216-523-1313	390 359:3,4,4,5,5	439 360:15,15,16
15219 355:4	476:3	392 359:6	360:16,17
1660 352:21	216-9229 353:4	393 359:6	440 360:17,18
17 352:7,14	2200 356:4	394 359:7,7,8	441 360:18,19
17 332.7,14 1701 355:17	2227 475:12	396 359:8,9,9	44113 353:10
18 352:10,12	23 352:18	4	354:4
180 394:13	234-4002 353:15	400 353:9	44114 476:2
1801 355:21	2440 353:23	401 359:10,10,11	44114-1190
1820 476:2	25 377:2 431:14	359:11	353:18
19103-2921	252-9060 355:13	403 359:12	443 360:19,20
355:17	28 353:3	407 359:12	44308 355:13
1998 447:25	2800 356:4	408 359:13	446 360:20,21
1999 354:8	2804 352:6,7	410 353:24 359:13	447 360:21,22
	29 476:4	359:14	449 360:22,23
2	29464 353:4	412 355:5	450 360:23
20 377:2 420:12	29th 475:7	413 359:14,15,15	45004 352:14
455:13 477:16	2nd 352:21	414 359:16	45090 352:10
478:22 479:22		416 359:16	451 360:24
200001-4956		419 359:17,17	45132 352:12
354:19			

www.veritext.com

[452 - akron] Page 2

			_
452 360:24	617 355:9	absolutely 395:6,8	administration
453 360:25 361:3	622-3988 353:10	457:17	353:6,13 362:12
455 361:3,4	662-5205 354:19	abuse 448:2,3,3	administrative
456 361:4,5,5	696-4889 354:5	467:22	430:2
457 361:6,6	7	abused 410:15	advantage 471:10
458 361:7	740-8000 356:5	470:13	affixed 475:6
459 361:7,8,8	75201 356:5	abuses 451:5	477:15 478:21
461 361:9	8	abusing 454:10,11	aforesaid 474:12
462 357:9 361:9	_	471:1	age 364:8 410:12
463 357:10 361:10	80 451:10	access 456:12,13	agent 366:14,18
361:10	800 355:8	accumulate	366:18 373:14
464 357:11 361:11	801 353:9	454:14	374:12,23,24
465 361:11,12,12	80202 355:22	acknowledge	384:11,13,16
361:13	843 353:4	477:11 478:16	391:21 407:20,21
466 357:12 361:13	85 451:10	acquired 414:18	409:7 421:11,18
467 361:14	850 354:18	415:11 466:3	421:21 422:1,5
468 361:14,15	8:01 352:19	act 374:5 464:14	agents 379:3
469 361:15,16,16	8th 354:8	464:17 477:14	387:21 408:17,22
470 361:17,17	9	478:20	aggregate 462:6
471 361:18,18	90 394:13,13	action 475:4	ago 404:19 406:2
474 357:14	90067 354:8	active 371:18	agree 371:22
48226 353:14	901 353:18	405:10,17	383:3 395:8 396:2
5	942-5743 354:14	activities 372:7	396:7,9,13,16
5/23/2019 476:8	949-1159 353:24	373:1 374:18	398:16 434:7
477:3 478:3	95 379:15	375:6 404:2	435:16 436:4,19
50 355:12 366:11	950 354:4	422:15 434:22	438:12,17,24
366:12 370:1	951-7000 355:9	436:19 439:22	439:5,24 441:13
373:16 376:24	963-4824 355:18	465:22	447:14,18 451:15
378:17 379:9,19	97 426:1	activity 374:6	agreement 363:9
455:13	99 379:15	actual 452:3	ahead 404:8
50/50 416:24,25	a	453:25,25	aid 355:15 363:18
553-6700 354:9	a.m. 352:19	add 371:16 379:19	aide 379:17
586-3939 353:19	472:14	addicted 467:11	ailment 376:18,19
592-3197 355:23	aaron 352:8	467:13	akron 353:2
5th 429:23	ability 456:25	addition 414:15	355:13 358:5
6	470:7	454:25	366:23 367:2,4,23
6 367:12 430:6,10	able 387:21 394:8	address 407:15 476:15	368:15,16,23,25
430:18,20	415:13 453:15		385:4,5 388:7,8,16 407:14 411:15
601 354:13	457:13 472:4	adjournment 474:21	414:1 420:16
001 331.13		7/4.21	428:21 429:14,21
			420.21 429.14,21
	1	1	1

[akron - ave] Page 3

448:12 449:20,25	434:16,23,24	461:3 462:22,25	assistance 398:1
al 352:10,12,13,14	435:10,11 436:17	area 375:23 400:3	398:22 399:1
alert 400:6	437:5,12,14 438:2	400:5 429:7 445:6	413:1,2 414:6
alex 355:21 364:3	438:7 439:3 443:2	463:2	assistant 430:3
alex.harris 355:23	443:22 444:11	areas 385:4 395:6	assisted 367:21,24
allen 355:3,5	447:2,3,4 451:19	463:2	373:20 384:18
363:20,21	455:7,24 456:4,18	argument 375:13	418:21
allow 375:24	459:25 462:19	arnold 354:12	assisting 366:18
allowed 372:14	463:12,13 464:7	364:1	374:14,22,25
378:1 381:3	465:6 466:4,24	arnoldporter.com	assume 367:20
409:24 414:21	468:19 469:12	354:15	414:3
426:24 447:1	470:6	arrest 371:8 374:3	assuming 414:4
464:18	answered 381:16	435:2 444:3	attached 478:7
america 464:21	465:7 470:5 471:3	452:21 454:6	attachment
amerisourceberg	answering 413:25	arrested 387:1	429:20
355:10 362:19	435:12 450:25	390:9 392:9	attention 382:1
analysts 410:8	answers 368:18	402:24,25 417:20	413:6,10,22
analyzing 425:2	403:6	453:12 454:10	418:12
angeles 354:8	anybody 375:17	arresting 452:10	attorney 405:9
answer 367:16	apd 431:4	arrests 379:1,11	472:2,6 475:2
371:21,24 372:9	appear 477:11	379:16,18,25	attorney's 353:7
372:11,14,16	478:15	380:5 387:7	362:10,16 363:9
373:3 374:21	appearances	aside 405:17	attorneys 352:24
375:9,10,16,19	353:1 354:1 355:1	asked 375:11	ausa 369:20,21
376:1,8 381:4,6	356:1 357:3 362:4	381:15 404:19	authorization
383:8 390:2,18	appended 478:11	415:9 418:12	404:4 413:25
391:3,4 393:20	478:18	430:5 433:4	415:6 464:5
394:1 397:8,20	apples 449:4	460:21 470:4	authorize 478:11
398:7,8,15,20	applicable 473:7	471:2	authorized 371:17
399:8 401:9,10,20	application 398:11	asking 397:1,3	372:5,24 374:17
402:13,18 403:22	applied 406:9	401:2 405:2 433:7	375:5 380:10,22
404:8,12 407:5	applies 399:8	433:8	390:25 398:4
410:19 413:19	apply 459:1	assert 459:18	403:25 412:2
414:1,19,21	appreciate 451:1	assess 449:24	414:15 415:7
415:17 420:11,25	approval 459:15	assigned 366:5	422:9,11,15 423:2
421:5 422:17	approve 459:10	367:3 399:16	431:23 434:21
423:1,2,25 425:14	approximately	400:17,19	439:21 459:22
425:20 426:10	370:1	assignment 477:2	463:8 465:21,24
428:1 429:2	arcos 405:24	478:2 479:2	available 411:12
430:12 432:3,9,16	406:1,15,18,22	assist 374:8 409:6	ave 476:1
433:14,21 434:15	408:11,15 409:3	429:7	

[avenue - bought] Page 4

avenue 353:9,18	basis 398:12 415:1	benjamin 354:17	berne 352:21
354:4,8,13 356:4	415:14 467:4	363:6	362:4
average 386:3	468:5	bennett 353:7	best 444:17 469:25
aware 368:6,8	batch 446:8	362:9,9 363:8	better 420:6
373:4 383:24	bates 358:5 429:14	371:16 372:3,20	433:18
386:22 406:18,21	429:20	372:23 374:16	beyond 404:4
419:14,18 439:11	bblock 354:20	375:4,12,20 376:7	464:4 465:8
439:16,23 440:14	bears 429:20	380:21 383:7	billing 425:24
442:2,4,8 443:15	beck 355:20 364:4	384:3 390:1,17,24	426:1
445:15 449:23	beck.com 355:23	392:18 393:19,24	birth 444:10
451:4 457:24	began 444:15,15	394:24 396:23	bit 428:18 454:16
458:8 461:10	444:24	397:9 398:3 401:4	block 354:17
462:22,25 464:23	beginning 358:5	401:19 402:13,18	357:8,10,12 362:1
465:11	429:13 433:7,10	403:21 404:22	363:6,6,14 364:14
b	behalf 353:2,6,12	405:6 407:4	371:20 372:8
bacchus 353:8	353:16,20 354:2	410:18,23 413:13	396:25 397:11
362:15,15	354:10,16 355:2,6	413:18,23 414:13	398:9 401:6
back 372:12 381:4	355:10,15,19	415:5 419:3 420:4	404:11 405:13
385:15,23 411:4	356:2 362:7,11,17	420:9,24 421:4,10	414:23 423:11,15
417:11 420:2	362:19 363:5,18	422:8,20,24	432:1,20 461:14
423:17 433:10	363:21,24 364:1,4	423:13,23 424:17	463:21 464:9
440:16 469:12	364:6 413:25	425:12,19 426:8	465:19 466:18,22
476:15	belating 465:5	427:3,18,25	471:17,21 472:9
backed 378:11	belief 461:11	428:10,25 430:11	472:11
bad 446:8 449:4	467:4,10	431:21 432:4	blood 396:3
455:11	believe 369:6	433:13,20 434:9	board 379:3
balance 459:12	371:22 381:1,24	434:13,16,20	387:11,13 412:7
baltimore 353:23	390:19 395:2	435:9 436:16	412:13,21 413:7,9
barlit 364:3	400:4 401:11,16	437:4,11 438:1,6	413:17,21 414:9
bartlit 355:20,23	401:17 407:24	438:15,22 439:2	415:10,19 416:9
based 391:3	408:17 414:21	439:10,15,20	416:10,16,23
400:25 403:5	424:1 425:15,25	441:20 443:1,21	417:4,8,12,14,17
404:18 414:17,20	426:2,11 433:3	446:23 447:3	418:1,11,17,17,21
427:7,11,15	443:9,10,23 444:7	450:7 451:18	418:25 419:10,13
428:11 431:23	446:17 447:17	453:3 455:23	420:2,2,7 429:5
432:16 445:2	448:16 449:1,9	456:3,16 457:16	470:2,3,15
459:21 461:9	451:10 457:17	458:6 459:20	book 460:7
466:2,11 467:16	460:6 466:19	461:1,17 462:17	borders 368:16
basically 377:10	470:20 471:9	463:4,7 464:4	boston 355:8
basing 459:15	beneficial 429:9	465:1,4,20 468:18	bought 452:6
8	450:9	469:15 471:23	

[boulevard - christopher]

Page 5

boulevard 353:3	called 364:8 431:7	418:6,22 421:8,15	century 447:6
bounds 460:1	460:11	422:3,7 423:10,18	certain 457:2
boylston 355:8	calling 454:17	430:14 431:1,11	458:10 469:5
break 410:12	calls 381:21 396:6	432:13 434:3	certificate 357:14
423:12	396:21 419:17	435:3,5 454:4,5,7	474:1 478:11
breakdown 410:9	423:24 424:14	460:4,5 462:3	certification 477:1
bridgeside 353:3	425:12 427:3	464:1 476:6 477:3	478:1
bring 382:10,23	446:24 449:8,13	478:3	certified 364:11
412:24 413:9	456:16 459:17,24	cases 366:23 367:5	certify 474:8,18
429:5	462:18 469:3	367:18,22,23	475:1
bringing 413:21	camera 404:24	368:2,3,14,17	chain 400:21
437:2	cameras 417:23	369:5,14 370:19	chance 472:3
brings 381:25	cancer 395:5	379:2,19 380:1	change 375:18
387:12	cannabinoids	382:9,16 383:10	419:20 450:24
brinks 373:14,19	371:5,7	383:10,22 384:7	476:13,14 478:8
373:23 407:25	capacity 391:4	387:18,24 388:3,4	479:3
brother 452:5	414:2	388:5,11,15	changed 390:6
brought 373:10	capita 400:3	389:16,17,18	450:12,16 457:13
379:2 383:1 387:2	caption 474:20	390:12 394:3	changes 450:19
413:6 414:5	carfentanil 442:25	401:16 403:8	476:12 477:7
418:12 419:19	443:7,14,19,25	409:5 411:6,10	478:7,9
421:14 437:22	carolina 353:4	413:10,22 414:5	charge 374:5
447:8	case 352:7,10,12	416:8,15 417:13	charged 387:5
brunner 356:3	352:14 366:2	418:12,16,19,20	406:23
363:23,23	367:10,11,22	419:6,8,9 421:8	charges 367:10
building 431:5,6	368:7,11 369:1,1	422:4 446:4	373:10 382:10,23
bunch 373:15	369:15,16,22	466:12	383:1 397:1
burling 354:16	371:2,7 373:19	casualties 445:7,8	406:25
363:5	375:7,15,22	445:11	charted 366:23
business 393:12	379:20,23 380:4,5	casualty 445:18	367:5 388:12
busy 450:8	382:3,24 386:13	categories 385:20	chartered 367:13
buying 440:20,21	387:11,14 390:3,8	caught 365:22	367:17
440:22,23 441:3,4	390:14,21 391:13	417:25	charters 367:1
441:6,14 450:22	392:6 395:10	cause 409:25	389:4,5
c	396:17,25 397:4,6	454:6 457:1,7,10	check 461:3
c 354:17	397:17,22,25	458:10,23 459:3	checks 459:11
ca 476:25	398:2,6,23 399:2,7	460:17 474:11	chicago 469:7
calculating 385:7	400:11 401:15,15	center 395:5	children 381:24
california 354:8	405:12 407:18	406:20	christopher
call 381:23 413:1	408:25 409:2	centre 355:4	353:17
454:19	412:25 417:16		

[cipriani - correct] Page 6

cipriani 353:13	374:20 375:10,12	complaint 366:20	contact 393:1
362:13,13	375:14,20,25	381:20 399:12	contacted 431:3
circulation 468:24	391:5 397:13,19	458:19	contained 472:1
citizens 449:19,20	398:10,15 401:8	complaints 392:5	continue 430:24
city 352:11 353:2	404:7,23,25 405:7	completed 474:20	470:16
366:22 367:4,23	405:16 415:16	476:15	continued 352:17
368:3,7,15,23,25	422:22 432:1,8,17	compound 446:25	362:3 395:13
369:2 385:4,5	432:22 461:21	452:8 453:2	447:23 450:14
388:7,8,12,15	464:8 471:15,19	computer 410:13	continuous 466:8
411:15 420:15	472:7,10	concept 465:11	contributing
citycenter 354:18	collect 386:10	concern 375:21	441:16
civil 364:10	colorado 355:22	concerning 464:18	controlled 374:4
373:11 473:3,7	combat 419:1	concerns 454:25	464:14,17
477:5 478:5	come 368:22,23	concluded 382:7	controls 465:12,17
clarify 402:22	385:9 400:13	472:14	466:17 467:7
462:11	414:6 417:22	conclusion 423:24	468:12 469:10,13
clawed 381:3	437:21 438:4	427:4	conversations
clear 403:3	443:25 456:21	condition 452:25	392:17 393:5
clearcut 386:6	461:2	conduct 385:25	convicted 423:8
clears 441:1	comes 436:1	conducted 384:2	435:11
cleveland 352:11	440:16 454:23	confer 422:25	conviction 444:6
352:22 353:10,18	coming 389:18	confidential	convictions 456:8
354:4 368:3,7	416:16 433:17	352:24 381:5	466:12
369:8,12 407:13	435:17	398:5 404:5 405:8	cooperate 387:12
458:16 475:7	command 400:21	422:12 430:13	cooperating
476:2	commercial	431:24 432:5,10	385:13
clinic 458:16	457:20	432:14 463:9,12	cooperation
clique 455:9	commission	472:1,5	417:25 420:1,6
close 366:12 387:1	475:16 477:19	connection 398:2	428:16
closed 373:7,9	478:25 479:25	398:23 399:2	cooperative 416:7
382:25 396:25	commissioned	406:5 420:23	428:9
435:7,10	474:8	consider 370:15	copley 407:15
clr 352:23	common 368:18	370:17	copy 430:6 460:6
cmmclaughlin	394:6 413:12	considering	corporation
353:19	451:5,24 452:1	370:14	354:16 355:10
cocaine 446:5	communication	consistent 431:9	362:20
code 425:24	428:15	constant 450:24	correct 368:8
codes 426:1	companies 354:11	consuming 410:24	389:7 417:2
cohen 356:9	457:21	cont'd 354:1 355:1	419:12 435:19
362:23,23 371:22	company 355:2	356:1 360:1 361:1	440:4,9 445:20
372:10,15 373:2	373:18 431:3		454:24 468:4

[correct - delivery] Page 7

474:16	court 352:1	dan 352:8	dealer 377:11
corrected 365:23	357:16 368:18	daniel 353:22	dealing 403:10
corrections 365:21	402:12 454:7	362:21	dealings 428:12
476:12 478:17	461:17 466:12	data 402:2 405:24	dear 476:10
correctly 367:17	477:7	406:22 408:11,15	death 442:20
388:20	courthouse 353:8	409:3 411:12	444:23 445:12
correlated 446:13	courtney 430:2	445:1 451:4	deaths 445:10,19
counsel 362:14	cov.com 354:20,20	456:11 457:21	445:22 446:3,10
365:4,5,10,25	cover 460:15	460:22 462:5	446:10,10,12
396:24 461:15	covered 381:18	463:1,17	deception 379:14
473:1,10 475:2	covington 354:16	date 444:18	452:14
count 461:22	363:5,7	452:24 460:7	decide 382:18
counterfeit 377:16	cribbing 418:3	473:11 476:8	458:17
377:19 380:1	crime 441:22,24	477:3,9,19 478:3	decided 392:3
385:18 386:23	469:24	478:13,25 479:20	decision 393:14
417:18 437:16,20	criminal 435:5	479:25	416:6
438:3,9,11,19	454:5 457:6	dated 429:22	deed 477:14
439:1	crisis 435:24 436:1	david 356:9	478:20
counties 384:7	436:3 444:17,19	362:23	deemed 352:24
country 431:8	445:2,23 447:19	day 353:17 423:14	476:19
434:19 435:17	447:20 448:2	475:7 477:16	defendant 363:18
county 352:9,13	450:11,15	478:22 479:22	363:22 375:3,7
353:2 362:8 367:2	critiquing 425:3	days 476:18	defendants 364:2
368:11,14,16,17	current 403:12	dea 362:14,17	375:15 463:25
368:22 369:3,5,7,9	currently 402:20	366:1,24 367:11	define 393:17,22
369:10,15 384:2	404:9 405:18	367:12,12,25	424:9
384:15,17,20	456:9,12	371:18 372:6,25	defining 433:9
403:13 407:14	custody 357:16	374:18 375:6	definitely 395:6
411:19 412:2	customers 377:10	377:9 388:12	430:20 444:16
449:2 467:2,22	cuyahoga 352:9	414:1,19 421:15	447:23
474:4 477:10	369:4,9,10,15	422:15 426:22,23	definition 374:8
478:15	411:19 412:2	430:3,4,6,10,18,20	376:20 377:3
couple 369:18	418:22 474:4	432:23 433:1	405:21 424:18
386:12 387:15	cvs 353:20,20	434:22 439:22	467:8
397:22 411:7	362:22	442:17 444:20	definitions 445:2
413:14 416:19	d	454:18,19 458:5	deliberative 391:1
456:5 462:4	d 354:13	459:23 464:24	422:10 459:23
471:20	d.c. 354:14,19	465:9,11,22 466:3	delivered 406:19
course 392:13,21	d.o. 407:11	468:15 472:2	426:13,25
406:10,13 423:20	dallas 356:5	dead 417:22	delivery 473:9,11
	dallas 550.5		

[denied - doing] Page 8

denied 460:19	determines 366:16	discuss 371:17	417:16 418:6
denise 366:25	369:16 388:25	discussed 423:3	419:2 420:20,21
389:7	401:14	450:17,21	421:7,8 427:11,15
denver 355:22	determining	discussing 395:9	430:3 448:10
department 353:6	380:19 381:12	dispense 440:11	449:24 465:13,18
369:23 391:2	385:14	464:19	466:7,17 467:7
422:11 429:4	detroit 353:14	dispensed 427:17	diverted 385:3,4
476:22	di 373:19,21	446:20	395:25 436:9,14
depends 386:6	374:24 461:3,7	dispensing 374:5	437:1,8
deposed 364:11	dictates 394:6	400:3 407:7 439:1	diverting 449:15
deposition 352:17	died 394:5 445:15	439:18 460:23	division 352:3
362:3 363:12	446:8 470:14	dissemination	362:14
364:19 365:18	difference 388:13	380:24	divulging 396:21
423:12 429:12	different 369:19	distinguishing	dmoylan 353:24
435:20 444:12	378:23 383:22	412:23	doctor 367:7
472:14 474:19	386:1,8 405:3	distribute 393:2	376:4,10,12,22,25
476:8,11 477:1,3	418:20 421:19	440:6 464:19	379:14 383:9
478:1,3	432:19 455:17	distributing	385:16 386:2,4
deputies 369:8	difficult 379:18	438:25 439:13	387:23 388:11,14
describe 380:17	383:4,10	distribution	390:9,12 394:14
381:10 399:11	direct 445:9	406:20 465:3	395:1 407:9 409:5
413:16	direction 389:16	distributor 372:2	411:6,9 414:11
described 455:22	directly 439:7,13	372:19 374:11	417:17 418:16
description 358:3	439:18	375:2 463:25	424:12 441:8
details 430:13	dis 406:8,11,14	464:2 467:5 468:2	443:13 448:13
detective 364:15	408:20	469:8	449:20 452:3,23
402:8 410:25	disagree 398:11	distributors	453:7,13,16 454:1
413:4 420:17,19	disclose 372:5,24	375:22 393:1	454:9 455:1,8,12
429:24 431:22	374:17 375:5	396:9 399:2,9	455:17 459:8
435:22 453:21	380:22 390:25	405:10 438:18	doctor's 418:23
462:1 463:23	398:4 404:1	439:12 440:5	doctors 383:19
464:13,15 466:6	414:15 422:9,12	465:16 469:19	386:8 415:20
detectives 369:12	422:15 431:24	district 352:1,2	416:2 424:4,21
442:19	434:21 439:21	362:10,16	471:11
determine 375:24	459:22 463:8	diversion 373:21	document 352:8
382:17 383:4	465:21	373:25 374:15	381:2 430:18,19
412:25 432:13	disclosed 398:6,7	383:22 385:20	430:22
453:23	disclosing 381:5	386:2 388:4,5	doing 407:1
determined	463:12	399:13 400:1,6,9	426:13 428:21
366:17 411:13	disclosure 460:6	400:18,20 406:11	442:16,20 454:5
431:19	463:11 466:11	407:24 408:11,16	461:12

[doj - extent] Page 9

doj 365:12 366:1	429:25 430:1	428:13,17 431:24	exactly 405:4
dollar 373:16	451:8	432:10,14,16	examination 357:7
doubtful 366:10	earlier 378:1	457:4 459:19	364:9,13 461:24
dozen 370:10,25	463:23	463:9,14 469:18	463:20 464:11
375:15,22 379:7,7	east 353:22	469:20,22 470:10	466:21
379:7 380:16	eastern 352:3	enhanced 446:5	example 368:2
417:13 446:7	easy 387:10	enter 362:4 410:8	371:1 389:12
dr 364:21,24,25	effect 387:8	411:4	417:19
391:13,18 392:8	effective 456:14	entered 478:9	excel 411:5
392:14,24 393:3,7	465:12,17 466:16	entire 392:7 433:2	excellent 449:2,3
406:19,23 407:9	466:16,25 467:6,7	477:5 478:5	exception 449:5
408:6 422:3,7	effectiveness	entry 430:8	executed 387:4
423:8,17,20 425:7	380:25 422:13	epidemic 435:21	478:10
426:3,6,7,19 431:6	463:10	436:2,3,5,21	execution 477:14
431:8 432:23	eight 387:3 412:10	437:17 439:25	478:19
458:16 466:25	416:25	441:17 444:13	exhibit 357:16
draft 430:6	either 366:25	445:3,5,19,23	358:5 429:13,19
driven 467:12	367:2 373:6	446:16 450:15	exhibits 357:4
drug 353:6,12	376:18 386:3	467:8,15 468:6	358:1
355:10 362:12,20	395:24 403:11,15	470:19	expedition 409:24
377:11 420:18	407:22 453:5	erin 355:3 363:20	458:15
436:8,13,20,24	475:2	errata 476:13,18	experience 449:10
439:7,13,19 442:1	elderly 448:18	478:7,10,18 479:1	expert 390:22
442:4 445:15	elephants 443:9	especially 388:11	391:8 394:3
447:9,12 455:2,18	elevated 450:15	esq 353:3,7,8,13	465:25
471:7	ellis 354:3 363:1	353:17,22 354:3,7	experts 391:10
drugs 379:2,5,6,13	else's 367:21	354:13,17,17	expiration 477:19
395:15,16,21	462:21	355:3,7,11,16,21	478:25 479:25
406:25 425:22	email 476:17	356:3 476:5	expired 406:8
446:6,9 448:17,17	employee 398:19	establish 454:5	expires 475:16
448:19 464:19,25	enclosed 476:11	established 420:13	explain 463:6
471:8	ended 373:11	467:25	467:4
dto 455:18,20	433:23 434:2	et 352:10,12,13,14	extent 381:4 391:2
duly 364:10 474:7	endo 354:10,10	event 475:3	392:16 393:13
474:10	364:1	eventually 438:5	396:20 398:6
dying 446:15	enforcement	evidence 379:24	401:2 414:17
470:9	353:6,12 362:12	382:22	415:11 422:16
e	374:3 398:18	evolved 450:20,23	424:14 434:23
e 356:3 358:5	404:5 405:14	ex 404:24 460:16	451:25 459:17
426:19,20,20	414:25 415:4	exact 378:20	463:11 466:1
429:13,19,21,22	422:12 428:6,8,13	442:22 444:14	
127.13,17,21,22			

[eyes - getting] Page 10

eyes 352:24 405:9	440:23 442:8,13	follow 379:21,22	forms 448:10
472:2,6	442:15,23 444:22	394:10 401:15	forward 476:15
f	446:14,15,21	461:16 462:4	forwarded 459:9
facility 373:15	450:17,23 453:11	464:1	foster 367:1 389:6
fact 465:25	figure 381:13	followed 367:16	389:7
factor 412:23	390:13 404:16	403:19	four 385:1 387:24
factors 380:18	445:4	following 399:14	392:6 421:6,13,20
381:11,19 427:19	file 391:12,16	401:6 403:5 425:6	431:7
facts 397:4 415:8	files 373:15 425:3	454:8 471:17	frame 444:14
431:9 464:5	fill 392:23 393:6	follows 364:12	448:8
fair 399:17 444:25	393:10,15 394:9	force 369:7,13	fraud 423:7,9
463:17	443:17	407:21 415:7,12	free 477:14 478:20
	filled 385:11 441:9	421:12,13 423:6,8	frequently 457:14
fairly 387:10 fake 387:2 426:2	441:11 452:5	428:24	friend 452:6
434:1,4 440:17,20	453:1 455:14	foregoing 474:15	front 444:21
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	filling 401:24	474:20 477:13	fully 398:12
454:17,19 fall 382:1 421:23	403:20 404:15	478:18	further 463:20
falls 368:15	find 385:24 410:14	forged 378:9	464:9 466:21
418:22	453:16 476:11	forgery 378:5	474:18 475:1
familiar 464:13	fine 422:22 471:20	form 376:5 382:20	g
family 381:23	472:10	389:24 390:23	gateway 457:4
397:22	finished 386:14	392:15 394:17	gathering 380:24
far 404:3,8 405:3	first 364:10	396:5,19 401:2,10	401:13 408:21
462:25	365:17 382:1	408:24 415:7	general 380:18
fbi 420:22 421:9	406:7 442:15	416:4,12 419:16	385:24 399:12,14
421:11,17,21,25	451:6 474:10	424:13 425:11	409:20,23 410:5
422:3,6 423:5,7,10	fishing 409:24	427:2,24 436:22	427:9 466:10
feat 411:25	458:15	437:18 438:13	generally 380:17
february 419:23	five 386:8 421:20	439:8 440:7,12	381:5,11 408:18
federal 364:9	446:9	441:18 445:24	409:12 430:15
398:19 435:8	flag 400:6 442:11	447:22 449:7	464:22
469:11,14	flat 455:13	453:3 455:6	geographic 463:2
federally 369:14	floor 354:8 355:4	456:15 457:15	getting 378:9
369:17	461:15	458:6,25 459:16	386:8 392:4
feel 393:8	florida 385:11	465:1,19 466:18	394:13 400:5
felt 393:14 460:12	focus 377:24	467:19 469:16	408:7 426:12
fentanyl 370:14,16	focused 384:14	470:21	436:25 437:8,10
433:9,12,16,17,18	focusing 425:1	format 367:12	440:24,25 441:8
433:23 434:3,5,8	folks 420:14 455:4	forming 414:16	450:23 454:12
434:10,11 435:15	463:17	465:25	455:3,4 463:16
435:16 436:4			100.0,1 100.10
155.15 150.1			

[gibson - identify] Page 11

gibson 355:3	gosh 379:12 424:7	happening 469:24	hereinafter 364:11
363:20,21	448:23 452:20	harder 410:21	hereunto 475:5
girlfriend 403:15	gotten 451:17	harper 364:21	heroin 439:24
give 381:17 389:15	government	391:13,18 392:8	440:3,6,11 442:20
401:12 409:17	470:24	392:14,24 393:3,7	444:8,8,10,22
417:19 444:24	grand 369:3	395:10 396:17	446:22 447:5,6,8
459:13 473:1,10	gray 355:7 363:3	397:17,22 398:2	451:5,11 452:1
given 406:6 461:7	grew 467:8	398:23 399:2	453:11,24
474:12,17	group 389:2,10	422:3,7 423:5,8,17	hey 429:6 460:3
gives 389:11	449:4 455:10,11	423:20 425:7	460:16
giving 426:16	groups 454:21	431:1,8,10 432:23	high 395:3
go 381:19 385:15	gs 367:1 389:10,11	466:25	higher 468:21
386:9 398:17	389:13	harper's 426:3	469:5
400:20 404:8	guess 369:18	431:6	highest 425:24
406:14 407:8	370:13 402:22	harris 355:21	highly 352:24
411:2 414:7	412:10 414:3,23	364:3,3	405:8 472:1,5
423:15,17 440:16	454:12	harvest 455:2	history 451:25
443:13 458:15	guessing 378:21	hbc 355:2 363:22	457:6
461:21 471:23	402:3 418:3	head 380:7 384:4	holy 394:4,10,12
goes 417:11	442:21	402:23	394:22
going 367:19	guideline 425:25	health 354:11	home 379:17
371:16 375:16	guilty 435:7	healthcare 379:17	homes 448:18
385:23 387:19	guy 460:4	hear 375:13	honor 405:15
389:14 393:12	guys 378:10	heights 369:8,12	472:9
395:7 401:1,4	444:21	heim 364:24,25	hospitals 367:9
405:7 406:21	gyn 392:3 425:16	365:1 406:17,19	hours 365:15
410:2 417:3,4	h	406:23 407:9,18	461:20
420:2 429:6	h 426:19	408:1,6 426:6,19	howard 353:14
431:10,22 432:17	half 367:22,23	heim's 426:7	hundred 366:9
441:7,8 442:2,10	370:10,24,25	help 390:22	452:20
442:18 446:2	370.10,24,23	406:15 416:11,17	hydrocodone
455:4 460:5	446:7	418:13 419:11,15	406:19 426:12
461:14 469:23	hand 429:18 475:6	456:21	i
goldstein 355:7	handed 387:14	helped 418:18,18	idea 366:6 385:6
357:9 363:2,2	handled 399:25	418:20	452:12
461:25 462:2	442:19	helpful 410:16	identification
good 364:15,16	hanging 431:4,7	450:6	429:16
378:10 413:20	hans 367:1 389:4	helping 425:9	identify 363:16
420:5 428:14,16	happened 413:14	henry 356:2	375:17 460:24
449:11,17,18,20	418:15 445:4	363:24	463:1
462:1	710.1J 77 J. 7		TUJ.1

[identifying - investigations]

Page 12

	T	I	I
identifying 375:7	indiana 353:20	instructed 401:9	392:14 403:2
ii 353:7 381:16	indicate 415:5	432:3	404:3 414:10
410:10	indicating 476:13	instructing 465:6	415:20 424:20
iii 352:16 410:10	indicted 369:3	instruction 398:13	442:23 451:22
illegal 425:2	403:13	402:15 415:15	investigation
illegitimate 425:5	individual 377:8	473:2,10	366:19 371:2,12
illicit 370:14,15	387:1 396:4	instructions	372:2,18 373:9
433:18,24,24	410:10 417:25	414:14 419:5	375:18 382:6,25
434:3,8,17 436:4	418:2 458:12	459:25	384:14 386:1,5,12
442:8,12,15,23	individuals 376:16	intelligence	386:16 388:23
446:6,13 448:7	380:5,6 428:14	380:24 408:21	389:19,20 390:16
467:14	457:18 470:25	410:8	391:19,23 392:13
immediately	471:6	intending 438:25	392:22 401:14
365:24	indulgence 461:15	intentionally	402:7 403:18,23
impaired 381:1	information 372:6	449:15	403:24 404:1,10
422:14 463:11	372:25 380:23	intercepted 387:3	405:14,20 406:16
impede 375:17	396:8 398:5	interest 432:20	406:17,22 408:2
impossible 411:25	400:13 401:13	interested 475:3	412:4,12,16,18
impression 440:20	409:8 410:9	internal 391:1	415:24 417:21,24
improper 380:10	414:16,18,18	422:10 431:19	423:6 425:1 427:7
383:1 385:19	415:8,11,13 416:6	451:8 459:23	427:11,15,20
387:17 388:22	418:17 419:19	interrupted 433:6	428:21 431:19
390:21	421:14 423:3	interruption 433:5	434:2 442:16
improperly	435:1 450:5	interview 434:25	443:24 444:4
390:14	456:11,22 458:2,3	interviewed	456:10 461:13
improvement	458:5,8 459:8,11	452:10	463:24 464:6
419:25	459:14 460:12,13	interviews 452:18	468:3 470:7
ims 457:22,24	461:2,11 462:10	invalid 424:6,9	investigations
458:2	462:14,15 465:22	investigate 374:4	366:4 367:15
include 468:15	465:25 466:2,2	385:12 399:15	370:1,7,12,21
included 476:13	471:25	415:23 416:3	371:18 372:7
including 380:15	initiative 416:23	441:24 453:22	373:1,6 374:9,18
incorporated	input 400:24	460:25 461:8	374:19 375:6
478:12	inside 447:15	469:24 470:11	376:4,21,25
increase 445:9	install 417:23	investigated	377:12,16,21
448:1	installments	373:19 397:4	378:6,18,24
increased 411:8	365:17	415:25 423:21	379:10 380:9,14
411:11	instruct 375:9	424:4 433:2	382:4 383:12,14
index 357:1,4,5	397:7 403:25	467:21,23 468:1	383:25 384:19,22
358:1 359:1 360:1	464:6	investigating	385:17,17,21
361:1		370:8 375:8 392:8	386:18,20,24

[investigations - law]

Page 13

390:10 400:16,23	item 454:8	jr 355:16	436:7,13 437:13
401:3,10,17,21	ivs 410:10	judge 352:8	437:14 438:7
402:1,9,16,23	j	jurisdictions	440:22 441:1
403:2 404:6,13,20	j 353:13 355:21	462:7 466:15	442:12 443:22
405:11,18 406:5	jackson 355:11	jury 369:3	444:2 446:1
406:10,13 412:6	362:19	justice 353:6	448:24 450:8,9
412:19 413:4	jacksonkelly.com	391:2 422:11	451:24 452:9
417:1,7 420:23	355:14	k	453:6,10,12 456:5
428:3 433:12,22	james 353:3,7	k 355:11	456:19,20,20
433:25,25 434:22	362:5,7,9 365:11	keep 455:15	457:21 458:1,3,4
439:22 442:13,20	476:5	460:13	460:3 461:5,6,18
442:24 443:19	james.bennett4	keeping 407:3,6	462:21,24 468:20
444:20,23 447:25	353:11	kelly 355:11	468:21 469:6
448:6,7 455:10,21	janish 430:2,5	362:19	470:12
456:14 465:23	janssen 354:2	kind 376:21 388:5	knowing 453:9
470:17	363:1 364:7	403:18 407:9	knowingly 438:20
investigative	jledlie 353:5	409:2 431:18	knowledge 448:8
380:23 382:2	job 374:7 400:15	454:22 455:21	462:16,20
396:22 422:13	410:21 462:22	468:10	known 434:24
424:15 431:25	joe 459:7	knew 442:17	krncevic 354:3
459:18 463:9	john 353:13	448:23	362:25,25
investigator	355:16 362:13	know 368:1,4,5	l
373:21 374:1,15	363:17	370:18 371:8,23	1 352:23 474:6
400:9,18,20	john.j.cipriani	370.18 371.8,23 374:7,23 375:1,2	475:13
407:17,25 408:16	353:15	374.7,23 373.1,2	l.p. 352:10,12,14
investigators	john.lavelle	380:6 381:21	label 429:20
400:1 406:11	355:18	384:4,25 385:9,12	lack 385:17
408:12	johnson 354:2,2	386:11 389:11,15	433:17
involve 417:13	364:6,6	391:25 397:24	lakeside 353:18
involved 370:8,22	join 396:24 397:9	398:10 399:21	lane 400:14
377:13,16 383:13	join 390.24 397.9 joined 388:9	400:22,24 401:12	larger 388:13
390:10 400:23	447:20,25	403:11 408:1,5,6	lavelle 355:16
421:9 422:3,6	jones 353:17	408:10,14,18	363:17,17
438:11,18 470:23	jonesday.com	410:13 411:23	law 363:10 374:2
involving 371:2,2	353:19	410.13 411.23	398:17 404:5
374:10 403:9	josh 355:7 356:10	415:3,8 414.3,23	405:14 414:25
413:5 433:12	363:11 462:2	417:11,17 419:13	415:4 422:12
irs 428:4	joshua 363:2	420:6 424:7	426:24 428:6,8,12
issue 404:24		426:15 428:12	428:13,16 431:24
419:14 446:21	joshua.goldstein 355:9	420:13 428:12 429:8 430:19	428:13,16 431:24 432:10,14,16
	333.7		457:4 459:19
		432:4 434:18	437.4439.19

[law - main] Page 14

463:9,14 469:17	left 385:20	license 377:8	logs 374:6
469:20,22 470:10	legal 393:15 427:4	387:14	long 365:13 378:8
lawful 364:8	476:1 479:1	licensed 371:12	391:23 408:1
lawsuit 375:3	legislature 470:22	372:2,19 433:19	442:10 447:7
layman 407:1	legitimate 393:8,9	434:11 438:10,17	461:18
lead 366:14,17,22	393:17,22,23	438:24 439:5,12	look 380:18
366:24 367:3,21	394:23 395:4,13	439:17,17 440:2,5	381:11 409:21,21
374:12,23 382:3	425:4,8 426:5,7,18	440:10 441:15	440:18 445:1,3
384:11,13,16	440:21 441:5	453:25	457:9,13 460:22
391:21 407:17,20	443:10,11 448:21	life 446:2	463:17,18
407:23,25 409:4,6	452:17 453:9,13	limit 375:23	looked 402:14
409:6 410:2	length 385:25	471:16	427:20 450:2
leads 457:3	386:3 388:2	limitation 415:1	457:20
led 367:24 448:2	lengthy 365:20	limitations 456:24	looking 403:4
ledlie 353:3	386:25	line 444:21 455:13	460:4
357:11 362:6,7	leonard 352:17	476:13 478:7	looks 430:25
365:11,14,25	357:7 362:3 364:8	479:3	lord 363:24
371:15 376:5	364:13,15 402:8	list 409:17 460:7,8	lorde 356:3
377:22 381:15	413:4 429:12,19	460:9	los 354:8
382:20 383:6	429:24 431:22	listed 478:7,17	lose 387:13
388:7 389:24	435:22 453:22	listen 429:6	lost 453:19
390:23 391:14	461:24 462:1	listing 478:7	lot 381:19 387:19
392:15 394:17	463:20,23 464:11	litigation 352:6	388:16,16 400:2
396:5,19 397:3	464:13 466:6,21	362:2 476:6 477:3	402:23
401:1 408:24	474:9 476:8 477:4	478:3	lower 468:16,22
415:3 416:12	477:9 478:4,13	little 428:18	469:2
419:16 424:11,13	479:20	454:16 455:9	m
425:11 427:2,24	letter 415:6	llc 353:20,21 355:6	m 353:17 426:19
432:15 436:22	476:19	363:3	426:20,20
437:18,23 438:13	letting 422:24	llp 353:21 354:16	m.d. 407:10
438:21 439:8	level 380:18	355:3,7	madam 476:10
440:7,12 441:18	409:20,23 410:5	local 428:6,8,12,13	maddie.brunner
445:24 446:25	419:21 420:1	428:16	356:6
447:22 449:7,13	457:21 466:10	locally 369:14,17	madeleine 356:3
452:8 453:2 455:6	469:12,14	located 431:6	363:23
456:15 457:15	levels 468:12	locke 356:3 363:24	mail 358:5 429:13
458:25 459:16	469:11,11,14	lockelord 356:6	429:19,21,22,25
464:12 466:20	lewis 355:16	lodging 401:10	430:1 451:8
467:19 468:8	363:18	log 409:7 459:5	mailing 407:14
469:3,16 470:4,21	liable 393:13	460:14	main 354:4 355:12
471:2,13 476:5			

[maintain - mischaracterizes]

Page 15

maintain 383:21	mass 445:8	means 404:13	medicine 383:19
465:12	massachusetts	431:15	meet 364:17
maintained	354:13 355:8	medicaid 423:7,9	365:10 369:21
465:17	massillon 407:13	455:15	464:10
majority 379:23	master 356:9	medical 377:8,10	meeting 365:13
449:11,17,19	362:23,24 363:10	380:10 390:22	meetings 365:4
making 438:11	371:22 372:10,15	391:7,9 393:9,17	member 373:23
440:17	373:2 374:20	393:18,22,23	381:23 421:11
mallinckrodt	375:10,12,14,20	394:2,23,25 395:1	members 397:22
355:6 363:3	375:25 381:1	396:10 412:7,13	memorandum
manage 444:17	391:5 397:12,13	412:21 413:7,9,17	391:17
management	397:19 398:10,15	414:9 415:10,19	mentioned 387:7
392:4 425:17	401:8 404:7,11,23	416:9 417:12	456:24
426:4 431:3	404:25 405:6,16	420:1,2 423:24	merit 409:25
managers 431:5	415:16 422:22	424:19,22,23	met 365:5,11,11
manpower 469:23	432:1,8,17,22	425:8,10 449:2,11	462:2
mansfield 384:5	461:21 464:8	449:12,18 451:9	methadone 394:13
manufacture	471:15,19 472:7	470:2,3	mexico 435:15
440:3	472:10	medicare 423:7,9	michigan 353:14
manufactured	materials 383:13	455:15	midwest 476:17
433:19,23 440:21	383:16	medicating 379:4	479:1
manufacturer	matt 354:7 364:5	387:8	mill 377:4,6
371:13 383:18	matter 374:10	medication 376:17	385:17 386:15,17
433:19 434:11	425:22 448:19	376:17 377:9	386:19
438:10 467:5,24	matters 371:23	378:15,19 385:19	milligram 440:18
manufacturers	389:9 392:16	387:7 395:13	440:18
396:14 399:4,9	424:16	400:2 403:12,14	million 373:16
439:6 440:2 462:3	mckesson 354:16	408:7 411:1 425:9	mills 377:13
465:16	363:5,7	426:14 440:25	mind 403:1
marcus 355:3,5	mclaughlin 353:17	441:14 443:11	mine 383:20 406:8
363:21	md 352:7	446:5 453:10	448:8
marked 358:3	mdl 352:6	455:16 467:9,11	minimum 369:21
405:8 429:15,18	mean 367:5 369:9	467:13 470:14	minus 370:3,4
472:1	376:9 377:7	medications 378:3	376:24 378:17
market 355:17	381:20 386:7	393:2 396:12,15	379:9
467:1 468:13	399:15 401:22	410:12 424:21	minute 422:21
marketing 383:13	431:13,17 445:12	438:18 439:6,13	minutes 365:12
383:16,18	447:6 448:19	439:18 440:22	404:19
maryland 353:23	450:15,24 456:19	449:16 453:14	mischaracterizes
355:15 363:19	459:13 466:23	465:3,17 471:1,12	434:14

[misconduct - objection]

Page 16

misconduct	named 474:9	northeast 436:9	460:7,14,18
414:11 415:20	names 431:15	436:10,15 437:2,2	ob 392:3 425:16
misstates 391:14	narrow 375:8	437:10,21,22	object 376:5
416:13 437:23	national 352:6	438:5 442:6	382:20 389:24
445:25 467:20	362:2 464:2 476:6	northern 352:2	390:23 392:15,18
468:8	477:3 478:3	362:10,16 384:8	394:17 396:19
monitor 374:4	necessarily 427:23	notarized 476:14	401:1,2,4 408:24
month 394:14	necessary 393:15	notary 474:6	415:3 416:12
395:18 411:6	460:12	475:13 476:25	419:16 424:13
444:24	need 365:21	477:10,18 478:15	427:24 431:23
months 387:3	372:12 391:7	478:23 479:23	436:22 437:18
morgan 355:16	394:2 395:13	note 476:12	438:13 439:8
363:18	409:5,7 411:24	number 358:3,5	440:7,12 441:18
morganlewis.com	412:25 414:5,24	384:9 385:7	445:24 447:22
355:18	416:10,16 419:10	401:12 411:14	449:7 455:6
morning 364:15	419:15 443:11	429:14 431:7	456:15 457:15
364:16 365:12	445:1,3	445:19,22 446:1,9	458:6,25 459:16
423:12 462:1	needed 365:23	446:12 454:19	459:21,24 465:1
motley 353:2	409:1 417:22	467:2,10,12 476:7	466:18 467:19
motleyrice.com	needs 425:8,10	476:13	469:16 470:21
353:5	negative 395:16	numbers 378:20	objection 359:3,3
move 432:15,21	395:21	379:12 395:3	359:4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7
445:22 454:7	neither 396:14	400:4 454:18	359:8,8,9,9,10,10
moylan 353:22	network 454:17	462:14,23 478:7	359:11,11,12,12
362:21,21	never 371:7 397:5	nurse 367:8	359:13,13,14,14
mt 353:4	397:6 406:9	nurses 387:8,10	359:15,15,16,16
mudge 354:13	467:23 468:1	448:18 449:3	359:17,17,18,18
363:25,25	new 406:9	nursing 379:1,3	359:19,19,20,20
multiple 376:17	nice 364:17 464:10	387:11,13 448:18	359:21,21,22,22
388:22 406:25	471:21	nw 354:13,18	359:23,23,24,24
446:6 455:5	non 374:2 396:21	0	359:25 360:3,3,4,4
mwallace 354:9	414:16 415:8	o'melveny 354:6	360:5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8
myers 354:6 364:6	422:16 448:4	364:6	360:9,9,10,10,11
mystery 430:24	465:23,24	oarrs 409:9,9,13	360:11,12,12,13
n	norm 395:7,7	409:14,15,16,16	360:13,14,14,15
name 373:18	normally 379:4,6	410:1,3,16,22	360:15,16,16,17
393:11 418:23	386:13 387:10	411:1,8 412:4	360:17,18,18,19
452:22 457:5	403:15 412:14	453:5,6,17 456:25	360:19,20,20,21
476:6 477:3,4,15	429:3 453:5 455:8	457:2,5,9,14	360:21,22,22,23
478:3,4,21	north 353:18	458:11,17,23	360:23,24,24,25
1,0.5,1,21	384:6	459:2,10,12 460:2	361:3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6
		137.2,10,12 700.2	

[objection - organizations]

361:7,7,8,8,9,9,10	463:4,7 464:4	414:9 415:18	opinions 414:16
361:10,11,11,12	465:5,7,19,20	416:9 417:4,8	414:20 415:7
361:12,13,13,14	468:8,18 469:3,15	420:1 436:10,10	465:25
361:14,15,15,16	470:4 471:2,13	436:15 437:2,3,10	opioid 370:17
361:16,17,17,18	472:8	437:21,22 438:5	371:13 435:18,21
361:18 371:15,17	objections 357:5	442:6 469:9 474:2	435:24 436:5,21
371:23 372:3,4,23	359:1 360:1 361:1	474:7 475:7,14	437:17 439:25
374:16 375:4	372:20	476:2	441:16 443:8
376:7 377:22	obligations 464:24	ohleg 457:5	444:13,20 446:4
380:21 381:15	observing 363:11	okay 369:11 399:8	446:19 447:19,19
383:6,7 384:3	obtain 379:14	399:17 435:14	448:1,3 450:11
390:1,17,24	obtained 452:13	451:3 465:7	451:7,11,13,16,17
391:14 393:19,24	458:2	471:18	452:1,2,11,13,16
394:24 396:5,20	obviously 381:21	omm.com 354:9	452:17 454:1
396:23 397:7,10	395:4 469:7	once 365:7 382:3	465:17 467:9,22
398:3 401:19	occasions 421:25	386:9 425:16	470:19
403:21 404:22	occurrence 413:12	426:3 454:5 459:7	opioids 370:9,12
407:4 410:18,23	october 475:16	472:3	370:23 383:14
413:13,18,23	odd 409:22	ones 366:21	385:3,3 392:9
414:13,24 415:1	office 353:7	370:13 384:10	411:14 414:12
419:3 420:4,9,24	362:10,16 363:9	421:19	415:21 419:2
421:4,10 422:8	374:22,25 386:21	ongoing 375:18	427:16 433:8
423:23,24 424:11	400:10 406:20	464:6	436:9,15 437:1,9
424:17 425:11,12	407:12 410:8	op 352:10,12,14	438:10 442:5
425:13,19 426:8,9	411:4 418:23	open 367:11	448:4,9,11 454:11
427:2,3,18,25	426:13 427:1	379:20,22 380:16	462:12 466:14
428:10,25 429:1	431:3,4,5,6 442:19	387:1 401:3,15	467:2,14 468:17
430:11 432:2	444:22 475:6	404:10 460:4,5	468:24 469:8
433:13,20 434:9	officer 407:22	opened 421:15	opponent 471:18
434:13,14,20	415:8,12 460:10	427:6,21	opposed 412:13
435:12 436:16	officers 369:7,8,13	opening 382:4	441:7 458:12
437:4,11,23 438:1	offices 394:5	operates 462:8	order 352:25
438:6,15,21,22	official 477:15	463:3	399:19,21,24
439:2,10,15,20	478:21	operations 464:3	400:7,25 401:18
441:20 443:1,21	oh 391:25	opiate 352:6 362:2	458:10
446:23,24,25	ohio 352:2,11,13	476:6 477:3 478:3	organization
449:13 450:7	352:22 353:10,18	opinion 414:17	455:18
451:18 452:8	354:4 355:13	415:14 416:4	organizations
453:2,3 455:23	362:11,16 384:6	449:17 466:1,11	436:8,14,20,25
456:3,16 457:16	384:23 412:7,12	468:5	439:7,14,19 442:1
461:1 462:17,18	412:20 413:6,9,17		442:5 447:10,13

[organizations - pharmacist]

		I	
455:2	р	patient 379:16	perceived 389:21
original 429:22	p 353:22 355:16	386:10 396:4	399:13
originally 448:5	pad 378:8,13	409:15 411:3	percent 379:15
450:21	pads 378:5,5	425:3 431:14	426:1 451:10
outset 433:4	page 430:17,19,21	443:13,17 452:4	percentage 367:15
outside 368:24	476:13,15 478:7	457:10 458:12	385:2 410:11
384:2,14,17,19,23	479:3	patients 376:9	448:20,22,25
385:5 413:24	pages 381:17	392:10 394:5	452:12
414:19 428:21	pain 376:17 392:3	396:11 409:17	percentages 453:8
434:19 435:17	425:17 426:3	410:6 424:22	period 421:17
437:1,9,21 438:4	paper 378:2,11	425:7 426:3,15,16	person 382:7
444:1 447:15	par 354:11,11	431:4,7,20	397:2 441:11
466:3	364:1	patrick 352:17	451:15 459:3
overall 467:3	part 384:8 395:19	357:7 362:3 364:8	personal 391:3
overbilling 425:23	397:5 399:7	364:13 461:24	414:1 457:18
overdose 445:9	400:14,14 401:13	463:20 464:11	465:10 466:11
446:3	415:3 421:13	466:21 474:9	467:10
overdoses 394:6	423:5,7 424:25	476:8 477:4,9	personally 427:13
445:9,16	431:18 432:13	478:4,13 479:20	462:9,13 477:11
overdosing 470:9	435:18 436:5,20	pattern 387:22,23	478:15
overfilling 405:22	437:16 439:24	patterns 409:21	pharma 352:10,12
overprescribe	446:3,14,15,17	pay 455:13	352:14
448:16	451:21 453:15,18	payne 356:10	pharmaceutical
overprescribed	454:4 455:17	363:11	354:11 467:24
381:24	460:6 467:14	pellegrino 352:23	468:2
overprescribers	468:13 478:9	474:6 475:13	pharmaceuticals
448:15	parte 404:24	pending 435:6	354:2,10,11
overprescribing	partially 403:1	444:7 468:3	396:10
380:20 381:14	participating	penitentiary 435:8	pharmacies
382:7,8,19 383:5	363:15	pennsylvania	392:23 393:3
388:15 389:21	particular 366:18	355:4,17	404:2 405:11
393:11 401:24	401:23 404:14	people 371:9	438:25 439:17,18
404:14 405:21	412:11 417:15	386:7 395:12	440:10 455:5
412:15	454:8 467:5	412:5 420:12	pharmacist 367:8
overwhelmed	parties 363:10	428:23 440:19	369:1 393:16
470:1	405:12	445:15 446:7,14	396:2,7,18 397:18
oxford 355:4	party 475:2	448:14 450:21	398:2 400:1
oxycodone 386:9	party 473.2 passed 436:2	452:10,21 453:11	403:10 411:4
387:2 394:13	passed 436.2 password 406:1,4	455:11 460:14,24	417:20 441:16
434:1,2,4 440:19	406:6,9 459:5	462:15 467:10,12	460:11
440:24 450:22	T00.0,7 1 37.3	470:8,13	

[pharmacists - previously]

pharmacists	417:18 437:16,20	potential 376:25	448:4,4,6,9,11
392:12,17 393:6	438:3,9,11,19	382:6 389:21	451:7,11,13,16,17
394:7 397:23	439:1 440:20	414:11 460:24	451:25 452:2,3,11
398:22 402:25	454:21,22,22,23	powers 374:3	452:13,16,17,23
403:9	455:3 468:13	practice 399:12,14	453:10,25 454:1
pharmacy 367:8	pittsburgh 355:4	458:21	454:11 455:14
379:5 385:11	place 367:2 474:19	practitioners	462:12 467:9,11
401:21,23 402:9	platter 387:15	380:10	467:13,21 468:16
402:16,24 403:1,3	pleas 368:18	pratt 353:22	476:6 477:3 478:3
403:19,19,23	pleasant 353:4	predominance	prescriptions
404:3,13,14	please 363:15	378:23	377:21 378:3,10
405:20,21 406:21	381:8,10 397:12	prepare 364:18	392:24 393:4
411:2 417:5,8,14	399:11 476:11,11	prescribe 471:11	394:8 395:18
417:17,23 418:1	pled 435:7	prescribed 395:17	401:24 403:20
418:11,22 419:1	plenty 448:13	395:22 396:11	404:15 423:19,22
420:8 441:9,11	plus 370:3,4	409:18 411:14	424:5 425:2 426:7
443:17 452:4	376:24 378:17	425:9 446:19	426:17 427:12
455:13 470:15	379:9	467:3	440:17 441:12
philadelphia	point 353:18 378:9	prescriber 457:21	454:18,20 455:12
355:17	389:16 398:16	prescribing 377:9	460:23
phone 363:15,15	406:2 408:9 410:7	378:5,5,8 380:11	presence 474:14
476:3	444:19 451:9	383:1 385:19	present 356:8
physician 380:19	469:18	387:17 388:23	presently 435:21
381:12,13 383:4	police 392:16	390:14,21 392:9	435:25
393:11 409:16,16	396:21 424:15	410:11 424:21	president 448:24
410:4 412:1,14,17	458:22 459:18	458:18	pretty 384:5
426:4 427:8	polster 352:8	prescription 352:6	387:14 389:17
458:11 460:8,11	population 452:12	362:2 370:22	428:16 455:9
physician's 409:17	469:7,8	371:12 378:14,19	prevalence 446:13
410:1 454:19	porter 354:12	383:14 385:2,3,19	449:24
physicians 376:18	364:1	387:6 393:9,15,17	prevalent 448:11
380:9 412:3,20	portion 364:19	393:23 411:1	453:23
413:5 448:15	portions 405:7	414:12 415:21	prevent 465:18
449:3 453:14	472:4	419:2 424:10,24	466:17 467:7
pick 458:16	positive 395:14	425:21 427:16	preventing 466:16
picture 450:18	416:1	433:16 434:2	467:6
pill 377:4,6,13	possession 406:25	436:9,15 437:1,9	prevents 458:5
380:1 385:17	possible 400:12	438:10 441:8,14	previous 367:1
386:15,17,19,23	429:5 461:4	441:15 442:5	previously 405:20
pills 377:16,19	possibly 430:25	443:8,10,14 446:4	451:6 453:24
385:9,18 407:7		446:19 447:24	

[primary - receiving]

	T		I
primary 371:8	product 441:2,3,4	put 386:10 410:13	quotas 468:16,20
print 378:12 411:3	production 476:15	444:18 457:4	quote 426:4
prior 401:7 403:8	476:17,22	q	r
404:12 410:25	profession 448:20	qualified 474:8	r 353:7
411:1 420:15	professionals	queries 457:2	rac 389:15
435:20 442:3,9,13	449:11,12,18,18	458:11	raiola 354:17
444:8,10 445:14	profile 411:3	query 456:25	363:4,4
446:2 447:20	457:10	458:24 459:2	ran 460:7,8,16
453:5 469:12	profiles 386:10	question 368:19	range 386:3
priorities 389:12	409:15	370:20 371:21,25	rapport 428:14
priority 388:25	proof 382:10,18	372:9,16,17	rarely 379:17
privacy 457:19	proper 407:6	374:21 375:9	raymond 354:3
privilege 398:18	prosecution	376:6 381:3	362:25
414:25 415:4	430:16	382:21 384:12	raymond.krncevic
432:16 459:19	protective 352:25	389:25 390:6	354:5
proactive 414:10	prove 379:18	391:3,6 392:19	reach 429:4
415:10,19 416:5	provide 423:3	396:20 397:14,16	react 469:22
419:1,7	provided 364:9	398:13,20,21	reacts 469:22
probable 409:25	provides 383:19	402:14,15,19	read 372:12 477:5
454:6 457:1,7,10	public 396:21	403:4 404:2 405:4	477:6,12 478:5,6
458:10,23 459:3	397:5 414:16,18	415:18 420:7,25	478:17
probably 367:22	415:8,12 422:16	422:19 423:2,4	readily 411:12
370:25 379:15	434:23 435:1	432:9,19 434:24	reading 465:5
380:15,16 392:5	465:3,23,24 466:2	435:10,11,13	476:19
406:6 416:24	466:11 474:6	437:5,12,15	real 387:25 422:19
417:12 420:11	475:13 477:10,18	438:14 439:9	468:10
424:25 442:21	478:15,23 479:23	440:8,13 441:19	reason 436:21
452:20	publicly 398:6,7	443:2 450:25	446:16 458:20
probe 428:18	434:24	455:24 458:7	476:14 478:8
problem 381:22	purchased 434:10	463:18 464:1	479:3
382:12,14 395:19	purchasing 458:5	466:5,6	reasonable 458:20
442:12 447:6	purdue 352:9,11	questioned 397:23	reasons 470:12
466:8	352:14	questions 401:3	recall 451:14
problems 460:17	purpose 377:10	405:9 453:4 462:4	receipt 476:18
procedure 364:10	393:9,18,23	464:10 471:20	receive 398:1
473:7 477:5 478:5	424:19,22,23	quick 387:11	462:5,9,14
proceedings 397:5	455:19	422:19 462:4	received 451:8
process 391:1	purposes 394:23	quickly 388:1	453:9,24
422:10 459:23	398:13 429:15	quite 365:20	receiving 400:2
produce 457:22	pursuant 473:3,6	quota 469:1	453:13
		7.00.100.1	

[recess - saw] Page 21

recess 422:23	related 370:12	require 409:3	467:18
423:16 461:23	381:2 383:13	required 476:25	rights 457:8,18
reciprocation	400:24 414:11	requirements	rise 446:12 447:23
414:7	415:20 427:11,15	369:21	rite 355:15 363:18
recognize 429:24	458:11 462:6	residents 411:15	rns 379:4
430:21	relates 352:8	resolve 411:9	road 407:13
record 362:5	relationship	resources 388:14	role 466:3
423:15 461:18,22	413:17 420:13	388:17,21 400:11	ropes 355:7 363:3
471:24 478:9	relative 378:23	respect 410:6	ropesgray.com
records 396:11	449:24 475:2	464:23	355:9
407:6,7 425:3	remember 373:17	responsibility	ross 356:4
466:12	392:1 433:9	469:21 470:3,8,10	rpr 352:23
red 400:6 442:11	442:16 460:21	470:11,18 471:5	rudely 433:6
redirect 471:18	renee 352:23	responsible 384:5	rule 397:12 449:6
reduce 472:4	353:8 362:15	465:2 470:13	ruled 381:2
reduced 474:13	474:6 475:13	rest 367:13	rules 364:10
refer 400:11	renee.bacchus	resulted 379:11	464:18,22 473:3,7
reference 431:4	353:11	397:1 456:7	477:5 478:5
476:7 477:2 478:2	repeat 381:7 390:7	results 396:3	ruling 414:24
referenced 474:13	rephrase 370:20	retained 357:16	432:2
474:17 477:11	392:19 427:8	retired 421:12,23	run 366:21 409:15
478:15	report 389:13,14	returned 373:14	409:16 410:3
referral 368:24	389:17 399:22,24	476:18	411:25 412:1,3
referrals 366:25	416:3 430:13	reveal 380:23	448:23 457:1,6
referred 460:9	453:5	404:5 464:5	458:10,14,17,20
referring 404:12	reporter 357:16	revealed 397:6	458:23 459:7
refuse 394:9	402:12 461:18	revealing 424:14	460:2,13,14,18
regarding 372:6	477:7	432:9 459:17	461:3
372:25 374:19	reporter's 357:14	reveals 392:16	running 410:1
405:9,10,10 451:4	474:1	review 364:20	412:5 459:2,10
465:22 473:2,11	reports 367:11	391:16 462:16	460:3
regardless 398:18	374:6 399:19	473:2,6 476:12	rx 353:20
regions 469:5	400:8,25 401:18	477:1 478:1	S
registered 426:22	represent 462:3	reviewed 364:23	s 476:15 478:8,8
registrants 464:24	reputation 393:12	365:16 391:12,17	479:3
465:12 466:14,23	request 405:7	391:25 462:24	safe 465:2
registration 377:9	460:18 478:9,11	rice 353:2	safety 378:11
426:23 432:24	requested 473:1,6	right 425:10 427:1	sandra 355:11
433:1	473:10	431:13 434:8	sandy 362:18
regularly 428:22	requests 471:25	436:12 452:22	saw 365:22 366:2
		457:2,9 459:6,7	452:25

[saying - sorry] Page 22

saying 404:18	search 387:4	shapira 355:3	similar 388:5,10
416:16	418:21	363:21	simply 375:16
says 381:21	second 374:10	shapira.com 355:5	sincerely 476:21
scanned 365:19	423:18 424:8	share 415:23	single 411:2 424:2
schedule 410:10	430:7 453:20	454:18,21	sir 364:16 365:3
423:14	see 394:8 403:6	sheet 476:13 478:7	366:3,4 371:14,19
scheduled 426:25	404:8 405:1,3	478:10,18 479:1	373:22 376:23
464:19,25 465:16	428:19 430:7	shelf 418:3	377:14,17 380:2
schein 356:2	457:6,11 458:17	shipments 387:4	383:2,20 384:21
363:24	471:21	shopper 367:7	384:24 391:11
school 395:2	seeing 386:8 425:7	388:11 411:6	399:5,10,20,23
scope 372:4,23	seek 376:16 399:1	418:16 453:16	405:23 406:12
374:16 375:4	seen 425:23	455:17 459:8	407:19 408:3
380:21 383:7	self 379:4 387:8	shoppers 379:14	411:18,20 416:18
390:1,17,24	403:10	448:14 453:13	416:21 418:10,14
393:19,25 396:23	sell 418:9 454:15	454:9 455:1,8	427:5 431:12
398:3 401:19	455:16	shopping 376:4,10	443:18 445:13,17
403:21 404:4	selling 395:24	376:12,22 377:1	447:11 450:1,4
412:3 413:18,23	439:6 441:12	385:16 386:2,4	460:20 464:10
413:24 414:13	send 392:22	390:10,12 411:9	467:25 476:10
419:3 420:24	418:17 430:5	417:17 449:21	situation 471:10
421:4 422:8	sense 367:14	454:13,14	six 387:2
425:13,19 426:9	378:22 394:6	short 422:23	skzerrusen 355:14
427:18,25 429:1	442:10	461:23	small 448:20,25
430:11 431:23	sensitive 392:16	shortly 392:5	smith 458:16
433:13,20 434:20	424:15	show 387:22	459:7
436:16 437:4,11	sent 430:1 459:11	452:23	solely 427:7,11,15
438:1,6,15,22	sentenced 392:1	showed 451:10	solutions 354:11
439:2,10,15,20	sentencing 364:20	showing 387:23	476:1 479:1
443:1,21 455:23	364:23 391:17	shown 476:16	somebody 389:13
456:3 459:21	425:25	shows 426:1	429:7 441:7 468:6
462:17 463:4,7	separate 426:14	428:19	someone's 378:12
464:2,5 465:5,8,20	service 355:2	signature 473:5	somewhat 386:25
scott 373:18	services 353:20	475:12 476:14	sophisticated
script 394:15	serving 435:8	signed 477:13	447:12
scripts 394:15	set 455:19 475:5	478:18	sorry 369:10
397:23	setting 405:17	significance	377:24 384:11
se 403:3 426:16	settlement 373:17	395:20	390:9 402:12
seal 475:6 477:15	seven 413:15	signing 476:19	436:11 453:20
478:21	419:23 421:20	silver 387:15	465:4

[sort - surveillance] Page 23

sort 389:23 390:15	446:24 449:8	446:20 447:9,16	substances 374:5
401:16,17	456:17 459:24	471:24	426:25 464:14,17
sources 387:22	462:18 469:4	statistics 383:21	substantial 382:3
398:5 441:5	speed 411:8,11	stay 400:14	suffering 395:5
south 353:4	spelled 426:21	steal 378:12	suite 352:21 353:9
355:12	spend 395:1	stealing 367:9	353:23 354:4
spaeder 353:21	spoken 366:1	stenotypy 474:14	355:12,22 356:4
362:22	spreadsheet	step 422:20 432:7	476:2
speak 404:23	386:11 411:5	stephen 354:17	summit 352:13
432:7	453:6	363:4	353:2 362:8 367:2
speaking 465:8	sraiola 354:20	steroids 371:3	368:11,14,16,17
special 356:9	ss 474:3	stipulations	368:22 369:3,7
362:23,24 363:10	staff 449:2	352:25	384:2,14,17,19
371:21 372:10,15	stand 397:7	stole 452:6	403:13 407:14
373:2 374:20	432:18	stolen 448:19	411:17 412:1
375:10,12,14,20	stars 354:8	stonewalled	449:2 467:2,22
375:25 381:1	start 362:5 366:21	398:25	sums 468:10
391:5 397:11,13	378:4 379:24	stop 404:22	superior 353:9
397:19 398:10,15	382:4 405:2	431:21	476:1
401:8 404:7,11,23	started 392:2,4	stow 368:25 369:2	supervisor 389:2
404:25 405:6,16	396:18 397:17,24	straight 393:7	389:10 459:9,14
415:16 422:22	427:10,14 433:23	street 352:21	459:15 460:3,19
432:1,8,17,21	434:1 442:22	353:14,22 354:18	supplied 466:14
461:21 464:8	445:5 447:20,24	355:8,12,17,21	supply 429:7
471:15,19 472:7	448:5 451:11	440:19 441:7,14	454:14 469:25
472:10	452:2,11,13,15	452:7	supplying 403:14
specialist 392:4	starting 386:2	strike 432:15	supposed 396:1
specific 372:6,25	445:7	463:18 470:6	407:8
374:18 375:6	state 367:10,17	string 358:5	sure 362:6 372:14
397:2 404:1	369:22,23,24	429:13	373:5 376:13
422:15 434:22	384:8,23 470:22	student 363:11	383:9 385:16
435:12 439:22	474:2,7 475:14	studied 467:17	388:20 390:4
463:16 465:22	477:10 478:15	studies 449:23	397:14 402:4
specifically 471:24	statement 447:14	467:17	403:5 405:4
specifications	477:13,14 478:19	study 451:9,12	406:24 407:10
462:22	478:19	stuff 428:20 453:1	432:6 435:19
specifics 403:24	states 352:1 353:6	subject 352:25	442:22 444:11,23
specified 474:20	353:7,8 362:11,17	472:6	450:25 457:3
spectrum 410:14	391:2 434:12	subscribed 477:10	461:6 471:4
speculation 396:6	435:18 437:10	478:14 479:21	surveillance
419:17 425:13	438:4 444:1,1		367:21 389:23

[surveillance - think]

390:4,5,11,15	task 369:7,13	396:22 415:24	420:21 422:24
suspect 390:11	407:21 415:7,12	422:13 424:15	432:21 451:1
434:25 435:14	421:12,13 423:6,8	431:25 432:10	464:10 471:21
suspected 376:4	428:24	459:18 463:10,13	theft 367:8 378:2,3
suspicion 410:3	taxonomy 378:24	techs 379:5	378:4,7,14,18
458:14,19,20	tds 366:5 367:16	telephone 354:7	379:5,6,13,16
suspicious 374:6	368:1,13 369:5	354:12 355:2,15	387:6 403:11,15
399:18,21,24	370:2 371:11	355:20 356:2	417:18 418:5,7
400:7,25 401:18	372:1,17 373:23	tell 376:15 392:12	448:17,17
sustained 464:8	376:3,22 377:13	393:7 427:22	thefts 377:21
swing 395:8	377:15,25 380:8	452:22,24 466:13	379:2 385:18
switched 425:16	382:6 383:15,21	telling 385:13	thereof 385:18
sworn 364:11	384:1 385:21	tells 389:8	thing 368:10 417:3
474:10 477:10,13	386:19 388:3,9,19	ten 366:7 370:4	457:8
478:14,18 479:21	388:21,25 389:8	412:10 416:25	things 367:24
system 395:15,17	389:12,20 399:18	446:10	408:20 409:14,21
395:23	402:9 405:19,19	tend 371:9 454:17	450:20,23
t	405:25 406:7	454:21	think 368:20,25
tablets 434:4	408:10,12,15	tenth 354:18	369:25 370:18
take 369:22,23,24	409:10 410:17	tenure 465:15	371:20 373:16,17
387:19,24 388:22	412:6 413:5,10,15	466:7	375:23 382:11,13
391:24 394:20	417:1,6 419:21	term 433:18	382:17 384:6
400:14 411:4	420:3,15,23	terms 410:16	385:22 387:9
418:8 423:11	421:18,21 422:1	414:10 421:7	390:3 391:5,7
455:11,12	428:3,9 430:4	435:19	393:10 394:6
taken 352:20	433:12 442:3,9	test 396:3	398:9,16,17,19
369:14,17 474:19	443:5,20 444:9,16	testified 463:23	402:6 403:17,22
takes 385:25 386:4	445:14 447:20	testify 474:10	403:23 405:13
387:23	448:1 455:21	testifying 374:11	407:15 410:15
talk 417:4	456:12 458:1	450:12	411:24 418:19,23
talked 373:13	462:6,8,16,23	testimony 388:20	420:5 424:25
385:16 402:8	463:2,18 465:15	391:15 416:13	426:17 428:5,11
talking 367:20	466:7	434:14 437:24	428:15 429:22
370:4 378:2	team 388:13	445:25 467:20	432:3,20 435:9
383:17 397:15	tech 367:8	468:9 474:12,16	436:2 441:3,4,6
403:18 421:25	technicians 402:24	477:6,7 478:6,9,12	444:15,24 447:18
422:2,25 432:6	technique 417:24	testing 395:14,16	450:17 456:13
452:21 466:24	432:5,12,14	395:21	457:12 460:9
467:1 469:17,18	463:15	texas 356:5	461:6,7 468:11
target 371:8	techniques 380:25	thank 363:13	470:9 471:4,15
390:16 463:1	381:6 382:2	379:8 388:8	
370.10 103.1			

[thinking - vague] Page 25

thinking 440:23	top 380:7 384:4	442:9 444:17	understood
441:10	431:14	460:17	388:20 446:11
third 366:15	total 411:13	tucker 354:3	unit 388:18 420:18
thirty 476:18	touhy 398:11,16	363:1	420:20,21 430:3
thought 424:5,6	township 407:16	tuckerellis.com	united 352:1 353:6
424:23 450:21	trade 454:22	354:5	353:7,8 362:11,17
453:19	traffic 436:8,14	turf 428:20	391:1 434:12
three 380:4 385:1	traffickers 471:7	turning 386:14	435:17 437:9
387:24 392:6	trafficking 403:14	turns 454:6	438:4 444:1,1
394:15 421:6,25	403:16 406:24	tv 428:19	446:20 447:9,16
423:14 425:22	418:7 436:8,14,20	two 365:15,17	471:24
456:6	436:24 439:7,14	373:4 375:15,21	unpack 403:6
threw 390:5	439:19 442:1,4,5	377:19 387:24	424:8
thrilled 428:24	447:9,12 455:2,18	409:14,18 421:19	unquote 426:4
time 370:2 373:24	471:8	456:5 461:16,19	ups 461:16
377:23,25 378:8	train 453:19	type 380:13	usdoj.gov 353:11
382:23 385:25	transcribed	383:16 385:20	353:11,15
386:4 387:3,20,23	474:15 477:7	390:4 402:7	use 387:21,22
388:2,16 392:7	transcript 352:24	412:11,17 417:15	389:22,22 390:21
395:1 408:8	357:1 405:8	418:5 421:7,8	400:7,10,11 402:2
410:24 417:1	471:25 472:3	458:14,18,19,19	405:24 406:5
420:3 421:17	473:3,6,9,11	types 378:24	408:19,20,22
423:21 432:21	476:11,12 477:5	383:22 386:1	409:1,3,5,9,12,20
433:2 435:8	477:12 478:5,11	388:2,4 402:1	409:24 415:13
440:13 442:3,9	478:17	412:16	444:8 452:1,1,2
444:9,14,18	transcription	typically 379:15	453:16 454:13,22
445:14 447:7,20	474:16	u	465:24
447:24,25 448:8	transcripts 365:16	u.s. 353:12 362:10	users 451:11
450:13,20 471:16	treating 426:15	362:15 363:8	uses 408:11,15
474:19	treatment 395:5	434:19 438:5	v
timeline 387:25	tried 453:22	ulmer 352:21	v 352:9,11,14
timely 472:12	trinity 394:4,11,12	362:4	vague 372:3 376:7
times 365:6 412:9	394:22	ultimately 369:17	377:22 383:6
413:14 416:20	trouble 414:4	undercover	384:3 393:24
418:15 421:3,6	true 474:16	387:21 389:22	394:18 401:5
tip 396:18 397:18	truth 474:10,11,11	understand 377:6	410:18,23 413:13
399:13	try 432:18	397:14 398:12,16	419:4 420:4,10
tips 397:21	trying 370:18	431:14 458:9	421:10 423:23
today 363:12	377:24 378:22	understanding	426:8 428:10,25
389:3,4 448:10	390:13 402:4	376:11,14	434:13 438:21
	404:16 419:1	·	440:13 441:20

[vague - years] Page 26

446:23 450:7	403:3 405:2	wilson.mudge	417:7,16 419:6,8
461:1 468:11	423:17 440:25	354:15	420:14,22 421:15
469:15 471:13	458:17 461:6	withdraw 435:12	421:24 423:9
valid 423:21 424:6	wanted 418:4	witness 372:13	428:4 433:11
valuable 446:2	457:4,5	397:7 404:9,13	443:20 455:20
vast 449:10	wants 369:22,23	422:18,25 423:1	466:13,15
veritext 476:1,7	warnings 392:22	432:7,12 447:1	working 383:23
479:1	warrant 418:21	464:7 473:2 474:9	388:3 389:9,18
veritext.com.	warrants 387:4	474:13,14,17	402:20 405:19
476:17	warren 469:9	475:5 476:8,11	410:25 413:16
versus 369:17	washington	477:1,4,11 478:1,4	418:13,24 420:12
417:17,18 445:2	354:14,19	478:15	423:4 429:6
452:5	watch 428:19	witnesses 423:14	444:20,22 447:24
vet 472:3	way 375:17 385:6	witness' 476:14	448:5 463:24
vetted 472:4	385:14 394:21	words 459:1	works 457:3
vibe 428:23	395:8 405:13	work 368:4 383:11	worse 420:6
view 394:20	407:22 450:17	386:19 388:14	450:14
414:10 415:9	453:15,18 455:1	389:1,16 405:24	write 395:14
418:25 435:21	460:15,24 468:11	406:14 409:9	writing 393:3
445:21 468:23	ways 369:19	410:17 412:12,20	394:4,10 395:18
469:1,12	we've 375:14	414:19,21 416:22	418:21 423:20
violating 412:4	384:7 420:5 436:2	428:22 448:6	424:5
457:8	450:17 461:18	454:21 458:22	written 427:12
visits 425:25	462:2 467:25	462:6,16 464:15	462:12
volume 352:16	470:1	465:10	wrong 407:2
381:16 427:12,16	weekend 446:7,8	worked 366:5	427:23 457:11
427:22 460:22,23	weekends 445:8	367:15,19 368:2,6	468:7
460:23 461:9,12	weeks 386:12	368:13,25 369:1,4	X
462:7,11,14,23	387:15 411:7	370:2,11 371:1,11	x 461:8,8
463:1	went 407:7 419:14	372:1,18 376:3,21	xanax 386:9
W	441:11 444:16	377:13 378:25	394:13
w 352:21 353:3	452:4,24 453:7,11	379:10 382:5,9,16	
wadsworth 373:15	west 353:9	382:24,24 384:1	y
waived 476:19	wewatta 355:21	384:22 385:21	yeah 426:12
walgreens 355:19	whatsoever	388:6 390:20	428:11,15 436:2
364:4	469:21	391:9,18 400:17	year 444:24
wallace 354:7	whereof 475:5	400:23 402:6,9,17	years 387:24
364:5,5	wife 460:16	402:21,23 403:8	388:22 392:6
walmart 353:16	wilson 354:13	404:16 405:19	406:2 408:4
want 362:5 388:19	363:25	412:7 413:5	409:19 413:15
397:13 398:14		415:25 416:8,9	419:21,24 420:12
377.13 370.17			

[years - zuckerman.com]

Page 27

[years - zuckerman.c
421:13,20 442:22
467:22
yesterday 365:9
365:14
yield 461:14
Z
zerrusen 355:11
zerussen 362:18
362:18
zuckerman 353:21
362:22
zuckerman.com
353:24

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.